

# Basic course on environment and human male reproduction

Special Interest Group Andrology

27 June 2010 Rome, Italy

# **PRE-CONGRESS COURSE 4 – Table of contents**

# Basic course on environment and human male reproduction

Organised by the Special Interest Group Andrology

Introduction to ESHRE	Page 3
Course programme	Page 9
Speakers' contributions	
Intrauterine exposure to environmental chemicals on human male reproductio Jaime Mendiola-Olivares (Spain)	n – Page 11
Occupational risk and human male reproduction - Jacques Auger (France)	Page 24
Semen quality in European populations - Niels Jørgensen (Denmark)	Page 36
Endocrine disruptor and semen quality - Marieta Fernández (Spain)	Page 48
Puberty and environment - Anders Juul (Denmark)	Page 65
Lifestyle factors and indications of male reproductive function - Sally Perreault Darney (USA)	Page 74
Hypospadias and cryptorchidism and environment - Jorma Toppari (Finland)	Page 80
Upcoming ESHRE activities	Page 96
Notes	Page 98



#### ESHRE – European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology

# What is ESHRE?

ESHRE was founded in 1985 and its Mission Statement is to:

- promote interest in, and understanding of, reproductive science and medicine.
- facilitate research and dissemination of research findings in human reproduction and embryology to the general public, scientists, clinicians and patient associations.
- inform politicians and policy makers in Europe.
- · promote improvements in clinical practice through educational activities
- · develop and maintain data registries
- · implement methods to improve safety and quality assurance



Chairman · Luca Giar Chairman Elect · Anna Veig Past Chairman · Joep Gera	aaroli Italy ja Spain aedts Netherlands
<ul> <li>Jean Fran</li> <li>Timur Gü</li> <li>Ursula Eid</li> <li>Antonis M</li> <li>Miodrag S</li> <li>Anne-Mar</li> <li>Carlos Pla</li> <li>Françoise</li> <li>Etienne V</li> <li>Heidi Van</li> <li>Veljko Vla</li> <li>Søren Zie</li> </ul>	cçois Guérin France rgan Turkey chenlaub-Ritter Germany Hakrigiannakis Greece Stojkovic Serbia ia Suikkari Finland ancha Portugal S henfield United Kingdom an den Abbeel Belgium Hanst Belgium tisavijevic Slovenia be Denmark





# **ESHRE Activities – Annual Meeting**

One of the most important events in reproductive science and medicine
 Steady increase in terms of attendance and of scientific recognition

<u>Track record:</u> ESHRE 2008 – Barcelona: 7559 participants ESHRE 2009 – Amsterdam: 8132 participants

#### Future meetings:

ESHRE 2010 – Rome, 27-30 June 2010 ESHRE 2011 – Stockholm, 3-6 July 2011





### ESHRE Activities – Campus and Data Collection

#### · Educational Activities / Workshops

- · Meetings on dedicated topics are organised across Europe
- Organised by the Special Interest Groups
- Visit: www.eshre.eu under CALENDAR
- Data collection and monitoring
  - EIM data collection
  - PGD data collection
  - Cross border reproductive care survey



# **ESHRE Activities - Other**

- Embryology Certification
- Guidelines & position papers
- · News magazine "Focus on Reproduction"
- Web services:
- RSS feeds for news in reproductive medicine / science
- Find a member
   ESHRE Community
- facebook.

# Seshre

twitter

2

# ESHRE Membership (1/3)

- ESHRE represents over 5,300 members (infertility specialists, embryologists, geneticists, stem cell scientists, developmental biologists, technicians and nurses)
- Overall, the membership is distributed over 114 different countries, with 50% of members from Europe (EU). 11% come from the US, India and Australia.



- Membership (2/3)		
	1 yr	3 yrs
Ordinary Member	€60	€180
Paramedical Member*	€30	€ 90
Student Member**	€30	N.A.

\*Paramedical membership applies to support personnel working in a routine environment such as nurses and lab technicians. \*\*Student membership applies to undergraduate, graduate and medical students, residents and postdoctoral research trainees.



# ESHRE Membership – Benefits (3/3)

1) Reduced registration	fees for all ESHRE activ	ities:	
Annual Meeting	Ordinary	€480	(€ 720)
	Students/Paramedicals	€ 240	(€ 360)
Workshops	All members	€150	(€ 200)

- Reduced <u>subscription fees</u> to all ESHRE journals e.g. for Human Reproduction €191 (€ 573!)
- 3) ESHRE monthly e-newsletter
- 4) News Magazine "Focus on Reproduction" (3 issues p. a.)
- 5) Active participation in the Society's policy-making



# **Special Interest Groups (SIGs)**

The SIGs reflect the scientific interests of the Society's membership and bring together members of the Society in sub-fields of common interest

Early Pregnancy

Psychology & Counselling

- Reproductive Genetics Reproductive Surgery
- Embryology Endometriosis / Endometrium
- Ethics & Law

Safety & Quality in ART

- Stem Cells
- Reproductive Endocrinology



#### **Task Forces**

- A task force is a unit established to work on a single defined task / activity
- · Fertility Preservation in Severe Diseases
- Developing Countries and Infertility
- Cross Border Reproductive Care
- · Reproduction and Society
- Basic Reproductive Science
- · Fertility and Viral Diseases
- Management of Infertility Units
- PGS
- · EU Tissues and Cells Directive



#### **Annual Meeting** Rome, Italy 27 June to 30 June 2010 Pre-congress courses (27 June): • PCC 1: Cross-border reproductive care: information and reflection • PCC 2: From gametes to embryo: genetics and developmental biology • PCC 3: New developments in the diagnosis and management of early pregnancy complications • PCC 4: Basic course on environment and human male reproduction • PCC 5: The lost art of ovulation induction • PCC 6: Endometriosis: How new technologies may help • PCC 7: NOTES and single access surgery • PCC 8: Stem cells in reproductive medicine • PCC 9: Current developments and their impact on counselling • PCC 10: Patient-centred fertility care • PCC 11: Fertility preservation in cancer disease • PCC 12: ESHRE journals course for authors eshre





# Annual Meeting - Scientific Programme (2/2)

- Fertility preservation
- Congenital malformations
- ESHRE guidelines
- Data from the PGD Consortium
- European IVF Monitoring 2007
- Debate: Selection of male/female gametes
- Third party reproduction in the United States
- Debate: Alternative Medicine, patients feeling in control?
- Historical lecture: "Catholicism and human reproduction"



Angesie.

# **Certificate of attendance**

1/ Please fill out the evaluation form during the campus

- 2/ After the campus you can retrieve your certificate of attendance at www.eshre.eu
- 3/ You need to enter the results of the evaluation form online
- 4/ Once the results are entered, you can print the certificate of attendance from the ESHRE website
- 5/ After the campus you will receive an email from ESHRE with the instructions
- 6/ You will have TWO WEEKS to print your certificate of attendance





# **PRE-CONGRESS COURSE 4 - Programme**

# Basic course on environment and human male reproduction

# Organised by the Special Interest Group Andrology

Course coordinators: Jose A. Castilla (Spain) and Sheena Lewis (United Kingdom)

<u>Course description</u>: This course will present the causal links between the intrauterine exposure and occupational risk and their impact on human reproductive health. The basic principles on how to perform quality studies of human semen and toxicology will be presented. The overall role of environmental risks in the introduction of male reproductive disease (hypospadias, cryptorchism) will be covered. Finally, Influence of environment on puberty will be analyzed.

<u>Target audience</u>: All those with interest in the effects on human male reproduction of environment factors.

# Scientific programme:

09:00 - 09:30	Intrauterine exposure to environmental chemicals on human male reproduction - Jaime Mendiola-Olivares (Spain)
09:30 - 09:45	Discussion
09:45 - 10:15	Occupational risk and human male reproduction - Jacques Auger (France)
10:15 - 10:30	Discussion
10:30 - 11:00	Coffee break
11:00 - 11:30	Semen quality in European populations - Niels Jørgensen (Denmark)
11:30 – 11:45	Discussion
11:45 – 12:15	Endocrine disruptor and semen quality - Marieta Fernández (Spain)
12:15 – 12:30	Discussion
12:30 - 13:30	Lunch
13:30 - 14:00	Bisfenol A and human male reproduction – G. Schönfelder (Germany)
14:00 – 14:15	Discussion
14:15 – 14:45	Puberty and environment - Anders Juul (Denmark)
14:45 – 15:00	Discussion
15:00 - 15:30	Coffee break
15:30 – 16:00	Lifestyle factors and indications of male reproductive function - Sally Perreault Darney (USA)
16:00 - 16:15	Discussion
16:15 – 16:45	Hypospadias and cryptorchidism and environment - Jorma Toppari (Finland)
16:45 - 17:00	Discussion

# INTRAUTERINE EXPOSURE TO ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMICALS ON HUMAN MALE REPRODUCTION

Basic course on environment and human male reproduction 26<sup>th</sup> ESHRE Annual Meeting, Rome, Italy, June 2010.

Dr. Jaime Mendiola-Olivares, PhD. Public Health & Epidemiology Research Group, University of Murcia, Spain. mendiola.j@gmail.com

Conflict of interest: Nothing to disclose

# Learning objectives

- To understand how *animal models* can help identify environmental chemicals that can alter human male reproduction.
- To become familiar with endocrine-sensitive male reproductive outcomes that have been related to prenatal exposure to environmental chemicals.
- To learn about the testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS).
- To learn which *environmental chemicals* have been shown to alter human male reproduction.

2

# Diethylstilbestrol (DES)

- <text><text><text><text><text>
  - 1941- 1971 Prescribed to 3-5 million women to prevent miscarriage
  - 1971 Shown to cause vaginal cancer in adolescence among daughters exposed prenatally
  - Genital malformations were three times as frequent among DES-exposed as unexposed men (sons of women in an RCT)

# Sperm counts are declining

50% decline in sperm concentration in 50 years (Carlsen, et al. 1992)

Decline confirmed in two reanalyses (Swan, et al. 1997 and 2000)







Page 12 of 105

Some Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCs)

- PHTHALATE ESTERS (Anti-androgens)
   Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP)
  - Di(n-butyl) phthalate (DBP)
- BISPHENOL A (BPA) (xenoestrogen)
- PESTICIDES (e.g. vinclozolin, PCBs)
- PERFLUORINATED COMPOUNDS (PFOS, PFOA)

May act cumulatively (mixture problem)

#### Exposure is nearly universal

- These are found throughout our environment:
  - · Plasticizers in polyvinyl chloride
  - · Solvents (lacquers, varnishes)
  - Flooring and wall coverings
  - Food contact applications (cans, baby bottles)
  - Medical devices
  - Personal-care products (perfumes, lotions, cosmetics)
  - Coatings (including used to time releases in pharmaceutical products)

#### Endocrine-Sensitive Endpoints (male)

- · Physical and genital exam including:
  - Breast size (gynecomastia)
  - Location of the testis, testicular and penis size
  - Anogenital distance (AGD)
- Endocrine status (hormonal profile)
  - FSH, LH, T, E2, FT, FAI, Inhibin B and SHBG
- Male reproductive function (semen quality)
  - Sperm concentration, motility and morphology

9

# Prenatal exposures (animal models)

- Fetal stage is the most sensitive period for development.
- Several environmental chemicals produce effects on reproductive development in male offspring after *in utero* exposure.
- Critical period: Altered fetal testicular hormone production at critical window for reproductive tract development (rodents gest. days 19-21).

10

# Prenatal exposures (animal models)

- Phthalate esters DEHP, DBP are anti-androgens.
- Marked reduction in fetal testicular T production.
- Male reproductive tract development under androgen control.
- T decreased by changes in gene expression of enzymes involved in T biosynthesis and transport in the fetal Leydig cell.

11

# Prenatal exposures: The phthalate syndrome

- First defined in rodents: Cluster of androgen-mediated male developmental endpoints that are altered by *in utero* phthalate (DEHP and DBP) exposure.
- · Characterized by malformation of the:
  - · Epididymis
  - · Vas deference
  - · Seminal vesicles and prostate
  - · External genitalia (hypospadias)
  - · Cryptorchidism and retention of nipples (feminization)
  - Reduced AGD





### Relevance of animal studies to humans:

- · Animals show a continuum of responses:
  - · High doses: severe reproductive tract malformations
  - Low doses: changes in AGD and nipples retention
- Low doses of phthalates in rats are higher than reported exposure levels in humans....BUT
  - · Alterations have been reported at very low doses
  - Enzymes involved in steroidogenesis are identical
  - Animals tested one chemical at a time (human exposure to mixtures)





### Prenatal exposures (animal models)

- Bisphenol A (xenoestrogen)
  - Rodents exposed to BPA during prenatal or perinatal periods show decreased epididymal weight and daily sperm production (Richter et al. 2007)
- · Cumulative risk of chemicals (mixtures)
  - · Risk assessment (RA) on chemical-by-chemical basis
  - In real life we are exposed to mixtures of chemicals
  - Cumulative RA of chemicals acting via similar pathways
  - Cumulative effects of anti-androgenic chemicals
     (combinations) behaved in a dose-additive manner

16





# Human studies

• European men giving a semen sample and physical exam were asked to answer, in collaboration with their mother, questions on *in utero* exposures, including smoking while pregnant. (Jensen et al. 2004)

### In utero exposure to Maternal smoking

 Semen quality among European men exposed to smoking in utero or in childhood as compared with unexposed men (Jensen et al., 2004)

Change in sperm count (%)		ange in sperm count Change in % of motil (%) Sperms	
β	95% CI	β	95% CI
- 20.1	-33.5, -6.8	- 1.85	-3.23, -0.46

Exposed men had a 20.1% lower sperm concentration and 1.85% fewer motile sperm cells than unexposed men.

19

# Phthalates and AGD in humans

- AGD and other genital measurements in boys 2-36 months. Examined in relation to their concentrations of phthalate metabolites in prenatal urine samples (Swan et al. 2005, 2008).
- Several phthalate metabolites were inversely related to AGD, supporting the hypothesis that prenatal phthalate exposure may adversely affect male reproductive development in humans.

Swan et al. 2005, 2008





# Summary of results on phthalates and male genitalia

- DEHP metabolites in prenatal urine were associated in males with:
  - Shorter AGD
  - Smaller penile width
  - Incomplete testicular descent
- DBP metabolites associated with shorter AGD but not significantly with smaller penile width or testicular descent.

Swan (2008)

# Xenoestrogens and male malformations

- Fernández et al. (2007) compared 50 newborns with diagnosis of cryptorchidism and/or hypospadias with 114 boys without malformations matched by gestational age, date of birth, and parity.
- The aim of the study was to determine whether the combined effect of environmental estrogens (in placenta) measured as total effective xenoestrogen burden (TEXB) is a risk factor for male urogenital malformations.

Xenoestroge	ens and m	ale malformations
Adjusted ORs (95%) offspring in relation to EDCs, according to c	CIs) for urogeni the presence i case/control stat	tal malformations among male in placenta samples of specific tus of newborn.
Variable	P-value	OR (95% CI)
DDT	0.02	2.63 (1.21-5.72)
Endosulfan I	0.03	2.19 (0.99-4.82)
Lindane	0.002	3.38 (1.36-8.38)
Mirox	0.02	2.85 (1.22-6.66)



### Maternal beef intake and semen quality

- Swan et al. (2007) investigated possible long-term risks from anabolic steroids and other xenobiotics in beef. Authors examined mens' semen quality in relation to their mother's self-reported beef consumption during pregnancy
- Sperm concentration was inversely related to mothers' beef meals per week. In sons of 'high beef consumers' (>7 beef meals/week), sperm concentration was 24.3% lower.
- Maternal beef consumption, and possibly xenobiotics in beef, may alter a man's testicular development in utero and adversely affect his reproductive capacity.

25

### Maternal beef intake and semen quality

Table 3: Regression analyses of semen parameters in relation to two measures of mother's beef consumption.

	Log <sub>10</sub> sperm concentration		
	Coefficient	P-value	
Mothers' beef servings per week			
Number	-0.0102	→ 0.041	
	-0.1208	$\rightarrow 0.014$	

Swan et al. (2007)

26

# Pesticide exposure and male malformations

- Andersen et al. (2008) investigated whether occupational pesticide exposure during pregnancy causes adverse effects on the reproductive development in the male infants.
- 113 mother-son pairs were included. The mothers were categorized as occupationally exposed (91 sons) or unexposed (22 sons) to pesticides during pregnancy.
- Testicular position and volume, penile length, and position of urethral opening were determined at 3 months of age using standardized techniques.

# Pesticide exposure and male malformations

• Prevalence of congenital cryptorchidism at 3 months of age in sons of female greenhouse workers in Funen and boys born in the Copenhagen area.

Prevalence of congenital cryptorchidism		RR (95% CI)
Funen [% (n)]	Copenhagen area [% (n)]	
6.2 (7)	1.9 (19)	3.2 (1.4 - 7.4)
Andersen et al. (2	008)	

28

# Occupational exposures and male malformations

- Ormond et al. (2009) assessed the risk of hypospadias associated with occupational exposure of the mother to endocrine-disruptor chemicals, between others.
- The authors designed a case-control study of 471 hypospadias cases referred to surgeons and 490 randomly selected birth controls, born 1 January 1997– 30 September 1998 in southeast England.

29

# Occupational exposures and male malformations

 Multiple regression models of hypospadias: adjusted ORs and 95% Cls.

Variable	P-value	OR (95%CI)
Folate during 1 <sup>st</sup> trimester	0.02	0.64 (0.44-0.93)
Maternal occupational exposure to hair spray	0.004	2.93 (1.40-4.17)
Maternal occupational exposure to phthalates	0.01	3.12 (1.04-11.46)
Ormond et al. (2009)	•	3



#### Human studies: Postnatal exposure

- Concentrations phthalate metabolites in human breast milk examined in relation to serum hormones in newborn boys (n=130).
- Two phthalate metabolites (MEP, MBP) positively associated with infant serum LH/FT ratio, SHBG and LH levels.
- MBP was negatively associated with serum FT levels.

Main et al. (2006)

31



# Conclusions

- Animal studies consistently demonstrate intrauterine exposures to environmental chemicals affect male reproductive system in adulthood.
- Environmental chemicals are ubiquitous and much more studies about chemical mixtures are needed.
- Only a few human observational studies looked into in utero exposures related to male reproductive outcomes.
- However, every single study found a kind of alteration related to impaired male reproductive function, consistently with the findings in rodents exposed to EDCs.

#### References

- Andersen HR, Schmidt IM, Grandjean P, et al. Impaired reproductive development in sons of women occupationally exposed to pesticides during pregnancy. Environ Health Perspect. 2008;116:566-72.
- Arbuckle TE, Hauser R, Swan SH, et al. Meeting report: measuring endocrine-sensitive endpoints within the first years of life. Environ Health Perspect. 2008;116:948-51.
- Carlsen E, Giwercman A, Keiding N, et al. Evidence for decreasing quality of semen during past 50 years. BMJ. 1992;305:609-13.
- Christiansen S, Scholze M, Dalgaard M, et al. Synergistic disruption of external male sex organ development by a mixture of four antiandrogens. Environ Health Perspect. 2009;117:1839-46.
- Fernandez MF, Olmos B, Granada A, et al. Human exposure to endocrinedisrupting chemicals and prenatal risk factors for cryptorchidism and hypospadias: a nested case-control study. Environ Health Perspect. 2007;115:8-14.
- Foster PM. Disruption of reproductive development in male rat offspring following in utero exposure to phthalate esters. Int J Androl. 2006;29:140-7.

- Hass U, Scholze M, Christiansen S, et al. Combined exposure to antiandrogens exacerbates disruption of sexual differentiation in the rat. Environ Health Perspect. 2007;115:122-8.
- Hu GX, Lian QQ, Ge RS, et al. Phthalate-induced testicular dysgenesis syndrome: Leydig cell influence. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2009;20:139-45.
- Jensen TK, Jørgensen N, Punab M, et al. Association of in utero exposure to maternal smoking with reduced semen quality and testis size in adulthood: a cross-sectional study of 1,770 young men from the general population in five European countries. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;159:49-58.
- Hu GX, Lian QQ, Ge RS, et al. Phthalate-induced testicular dysgenesis syndrome: Leydig cell influence. Trends Endocrinol Metab. 2009;20:139-45.
- Lambrot R, Muczynski V, Lécureuil C, et al. Phthalates impair germ cell development in the human fetal testis in vitro without change in testosterone production. Environ Health Perspect. 2009;117:32-7.
- Lehmann KP, Phillips S, Sar M, et al. Dose-dependent alterations in gene expression and testosterone synthesis in the fetal testes of male rats exposed to DBP. Toxicol Pathol. 2004;81:60-8.
- Lottrup G, Andersson AM, Leffers H, et al. Possible impact of phthalates on infant reproductive health. Int J Androl. 2006;29:172-80.
- Mahood IK, Scott HM, Brown R, et al. In utero exposure to di(n-butyl) phthalate and testicular dysgenesis: comparison of fetal and adult end points and their dose sensitivity. Environ Health Perspect. 2007;115:55-61.
- McKinnell C, Mitchell RT, Walker M, et al. Effect of fetal or neonatal exposure to monobutyl phthalate (MBP) on testicular development and function in the marmoset. Hum Reprod. 2009;24:2244-54.
- Main KM, Mortensen GK, Kaleva MM, et al. Human breast milk contamination with phthalates and alterations of endogenous reproductive hormones in infants three months of age. Environ Health Perspect. 2006;114:270-6.
- Moore RW, Rudy TA, Lin TM, et al. Abnormalities of sexual development in male rats with in utero and lactational exposure to the antiandrogenic plasticizer Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. Environ Health Perspect. 2001; 109:229-37.
- Ormond G, Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Nelson P, et al. Endocrine disruptors in the workplace, hair spray, folate supplementation, and risk of hypospadias: case-control study. Environ Health Perspect. 2009;117:303-7.

- Palmer JR, Herbst AL, Noller KL, et al. Urogenital abnormalities in men exposed to diethylstilbestrol in utero: a cohort study. Environ Health. 2009;18:8:37.
- Richter CA, Birnbaum LS, Farabollini F, Newbold RR, Rubin BS, Talsness CE, et al. In vivo effects of bisphenol A in laboratory rodent studies. Reprod Toxicol. 2007;24:199-224.
- Rider CV, Wilson VS, Howdeshell KL, et al. Cumulative effects of in utero administration of mixtures of "antiandrogens" on male rat reproductive development. Toxicol Pathol. 2009;37:100–13.
- Sharpe RM, Skakkebaek NE. Testicular dysgenesis syndrome: mechanistic insights and potential new downstream effects. Fertil Steril. 2008;89(2 Suppl):e33-8.
- Swan SH, Elkin EP, Fenster L. Have sperm densities declined? A reanalysis of global trend data. Environ Health Perspect. 1997;105:1228-32.
- Swan SH, Elkin EP, Fenster L. The question of declining sperm density revisited: an analysis of 101 studies published 1934-1996. Environ Health Perspect. 2000;108:961-6.

- Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, et al. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. Environ Health Perspect. 2005;113:1056-61.
- Swan SH, Liu F, Overstreet JW, et al. Semen quality of fertile US males in relation to their mothers' beef consumption during pregnancy. Hum Reprod. 2007;22:1497-502.
- Swan SH. Environmental phthalate exposure in relation to reproductive outcomes and other health endpoints in humans. Environ Res. 2008;108:177-84.
- Vandenberg LN, Maffini MV, Sonnenschein C, et al. Bisphenol-A and the great divide: a review of controversies in the field of endocrine disruption. Endocr Rev. 2009;30:75-95.
- Wilcox AJ, Baird DD, Weinberg CR, et al. Fertility in men exposed prenatally to diethylstilbestrol. N Engl J Med. 1995;332:1411-6.

I declare not to have commercial relationships or other activities that might be perceived as a potential conflict of interest

# Occupational Risk and Human Male Reproduction

Jacques Auger, Service de Biologie de la Reproduction/CECOS, Hôpital COCHIN - PARIS - FRANCE

Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

#### Learning objective:

Lifestyle and environmental factors are the main suspects to explain the increase of various anomalies of the male genital tract recently reported.

The vulnerability of the male genital tract and fertility to various chemicals has been shown notably by a number of occupational studies. Occupational hazards are by far the best

documented in epidemiological research in reproductive health.

Occupational studies are necessary for assessing the reproductive risk for the workers themselves. They may also generate useful data for our understanding of the impact of various chemical and/or physical factors in the general environment as well as for risk assessment policies.

Where do we stand now? Overall, it will be shown that indisputable evidence of occupational adverse effects on male reproduction exists only for a relatively limited number of exposures or toxicants while for other exposures, the association is only suspected or suggested requiring further evaluation.

It will be explained how the study design for investigating possible associations between occupational exposure (which should be measured as precisely as possible) and various endpoints such as natural fertility, semen quality, hormone levels, etc... is of the utmost importance.

Updated knowledge in this domain should help the andrologist, gynecologist occupational physician or the general practitioner, as well, to improve counseling and management of their patients, notably those trying to have a child.





Working and living with poison: The DBCP (dibromochloropromane) story

- Lesions of the seminiferous tubules
- Changes in sex-ratio (↑ female)
- Irreversible azoospermia or severe oligozoospermia
- Decreased motility
- Hormonal Changes
- Embryonic/fetal injuries? few cases studied

Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

What are the factors involved?

In humans, 5 categories of factors may theoretically interfere with male reproductive function:

- Genetic factors
- Physical factors: Radiation, temperature, ...
- Biological / clinical factors: STD ...
- Socio-cultural / lifestyle factors: stress, tobacco, ...
- Chemical factors: Industrial and or agricultural chemical compounds

Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

Chemical exposures

- Constant increase of chemical compounds in use since the 2<sup>nd</sup> World War
- >10<sup>6</sup> compounds known
- ~10<sup>5</sup> products used in the industrial/agricultural world















# Occupational exposure and semen quality

	Current blog	Current blood lead concentration (µg/dl)					
	≤10 n=149	10.1-20 n=57	20.1-30 n=90	30.1-40 n=101	40.1-50 n=63	≥50.1 n=24	p Trend‡
Volume (ml)							
Adjusted GM (SE)	2.7 (3)	2.6† (3)	2.5† (3)	2.4† (2)	2.6† (3)	2.6† (4)	>0.05
Sperm concentration	n (x10 <sup>6</sup> /ml)						
Adjusted GM (SE)	32 (5)	28† (5)	33† (5)	29† (5)	35† (6)	19* (4)	>0.05
Total sperm count (>	(10 <sup>6</sup> )						
Adjusted GM (SE)	92 (16)	80† (15)	90† (16)	78† (14)	105† (20)	51* (12)	>0.05
*p=0.05 in an analysis of var (≤ 10pg/dl); ‡least square re (a 0.051 offertr in the multiple	iance comparing with pression of semen char	baseline in group acteristics on lead	(≤10µg/dl);†p concentration in d doctor ported	>0.05 in an ana spermatozoa (cr	ysis of variance o entinous variable) Bonde	et al., 20	baseline in g h significant 102

Semen characteristics	Unexposed (n=45)	Exposed (n=42)	Difference
	m (ET)	m (ET)	p1
Volume séminal (ml)	3.8 (1.7)	3.4 (1.6)	0.804
Concentration (10 <sup>6</sup> /ml)	90 (81)	70 (60)	0.123
Numération (10 <sup>6</sup> )	308 (237)	231 (230)	0.143
Mobilité a + b (%)	42 (12)	43 (14)	0.960
Morphologie (%)	14 (7.0)	13 (8.0)	0.605
Vitalité (%)	54.1 (13.4)	54.5 (17.3)	0.656
Hormones	m (ET)	m (ET)	02
Testostérone (ng/ml)	7.5 (2.5)	6.8 (1.7)	0.921
Inhibine B (pg/ml)	170 (72)	168 (67)	0.970
FSH (mIU/ml)	6.3 (4.4)	5.9 (4.1)	0.692
LH (mIU/ml)	4.7 (1.9)	5.4 (2.6)	0.359



Active compound	Reprotoxic calegory	Banned in
2.4-D		X
2.4-MCPA		x
Aminotriazole	Tox dev cat 3 (UE)	
Amtraze		2004
A.N.A.		
Benomy		2002
Bitertanol		
Bupinhate		x
Captane		
Carbaryl		2007
Chinomethionate		x
Clopyralid		
Cyproconazole		
Diflubenzaron		
Dithlanon		
Diuron	Anti-androgenic	X
DNOC		1999
Doguadine		X
Fenarimol		x
Fenazaquin		
Fenoxycarbe	Reprotox (US-TR)	
Flusilazole	Reprotox cat 2 (UE)	
Glufosinate ammonium	EU cat 3	
Glyphosate		
Isoxaben		
Mancozébe	Reprod & dev toxicant (US-TRI)	
Paraquat		2007
Phosmet		
Propargite		
Simazine		2004
Tebufenozide		
Tetraconazole		
Thiophanate-methyl	Dev tox (CA & US-TRI)	
Triadimeton		2004
Vamidothion		2003







	Non-exposed n = 50	Exposed n = 48		
<u>.</u>	Mean	Mean	Mean difference (CI 95%)	Adjusted (
Seminal volume (ml)	37	4.1	-0.3 (-1.0 to 0.4)	0.52*
Sperm concentration (millions/mill	119.1	74.0	45.0 (21.0 to 69.1)	<0.001*
Total sperm count (millions)	416.3	277.4	138.8 (37.7 to 240.0)	<0.001*
"a" rapid progressive motility (%)	18.4	12.8	5.5 (2.4 to 8.6)	<0.001*
Normal sperm morphology (%)	54.2	47.1	7.1 (0.8 to 13.4)	0.005*
Seaun hormones				
Testasterone (ng/ml)	62	6.3	0.1 (-1.35 to 1.16)	0.97t
FSH (IU/I)	39	5.5	-1.6 (-3.3 to 0.01)	0.05t
LH (IU/I)	3.5	3.5	-0.01 (-0.74 to 0.73)	0.861
Lability D (and all	210.0	215.0	-381-272 to 34 01	0.71+



Self-reported o	ccupa	ationa	l expc	sure a	and ser	nen
quality in mer	o cons	ulting	for co	ouple's	s inferti	lity
WHO99	Total (n=402)	Men with altered	Men with normal	Univariate analysis †	Logistic re	gression <sup>¥</sup>
reference		semen (n=314)	semen (n=88)	p value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted p value
values memical occupations	al factors (cu	rent or past	exposure): n	(%)		
Heavy metals	49 (12.2)	46 (14.6)	3 (3.4)	0.006	5.4 (1.6-18.1)	0.007
Pesticides	25 (6.2)	23 (7.3)	2 (2.4)	0.085	3.6 (0.8-15.8)	0.087
Solvents	150 (37.3)	131 (41.7)	19 (21.6)	< 0.001	2.5 (1.4-4.4)	0.001
Fumes	136 (33.8)	115 (36.6)	21 (23.9)	0.022	1.9 (1.1-3.4)	0.016
Plastic fumes	9 (2.2)	9 (2.9)	0 (0.0)	•	*	*
Vegetable fumes	10 (2.5)	10 (3.2)	0 (0.0)	•	*	*
Welding fumes	44 (10.9)	41 (13.1)	3 (3.4)	0.011	4.7 (1.4-15.7)	0.012
Engine fumes	92 (22.9)	75 (24.0)	17(19.3)	0.323	1.4 (0.7-2.5)	0.304
Metallurgy fumes	8 (2.0)	7 (2.2)	1(1.1)	•	*	*
PAHs	115 (28.6)	98 (31.2)	17 (19.3)	0.012	1.9 (1.1-3.5)	0.026
Cement	48 (11.9)	43 (13.7)	5 (5.7)	0.040	2.5 (0.95-6.5)	0.065
Physical occupational	factors (curr	ent exposure	): n (%)			
Electromagnetic fields	25 (6.2)	20 (6.4)	5 (5.7)	0.334	1.1 (0.6-1.7)	0.866
Mechanical vibrations	94 (23.4)	79 (25.2)	15 (17.0)	0.195	1.6 (0.9-2.9)	0.133
Excess heat	33 (8.2)	29 (9.3)	4 (4.4)	0.190	2.2 (0.7-6.4)	0.164
Extended periods of sitting > 20h/week	168 (41.8)	127 (40.4)	41 (46.6)	0.411	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	0.476
			De Fleu	rian et a	l, 2009	
Basic course on Environment and H	uman Male	Reproduct	ion ESHRE	PRE-CONG	RESS COURS	E / ROME 20
		.,		,		



Occupational exposure and sperm DNA

Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

Occupational exposure to organophosphorus\* and abnormal sperm chromatin 400 600 800 1023 200 400 600 200 Fragmented DNA ed DN/ Relationship between the urinary concentration of diethylthiophosphate (DETP) and the level of denatured sperm DNA β \* Mexican market gardeners (n=66) р DFI (mean) 0.477 0.026 DFI (SD) 0.1628 0.022 % DFI 0.000062 0.079 Sánchez-Peña et al., 2004 Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010







Male occupational exposure hormone levels and fertility

Table 3 TTP distributions for each exposure category: short term exposure modelImage rateImage rateI	(	Оссира	tional	lead	expo	sure	and <sup>-</sup>	ΤТΡ	
Encode cancer         Standing can	Table 3 TTP dis	tributions for each	exposure categ	jory: short te	rm exposure	model			
Time         66 (20)         111 (eff)         25 (eff)         26 (s)         81 (eff)         27 (s)         84 (s)         28 (s)         27 (s)         84 (s)         28 (s)         27 (s)         84 (s)         28 (s) <th28 (s)<="" th=""> <th28 (s)<="" th=""> <th28 (<="" th=""><th>Time period</th><th>External control (n = 236)</th><th>Internal control (n = 230)</th><th>&lt;20 µg/dl (n=71)</th><th>20-29 µg/dl (n=156)</th><th>30-39 µg/dl (n=162)</th><th>40+ µg/dl (n = 184)</th><th>Missing (n = 65)</th><th>Total (n = 1 104)</th></th28></th28></th28>	Time period	External control (n = 236)	Internal control (n = 230)	<20 µg/dl (n=71)	20-29 µg/dl (n=156)	30-39 µg/dl (n=162)	40+ µg/dl (n = 184)	Missing (n = 65)	Total (n = 1 104)
Operative versions, as part on the contractor Asception regist. Methods: Exposure assessment was mainly by blood lead values, which were available from the late 1970s, supplementably imputed values where necessary. Three exposure models were studied: (1) short term (recent) exposure. [2] total duration of work in a lead using industry; and [3] cumulative exposure. A Cax proportional hozards model with discrete ties was used for the statistical analysis, with covariantes for both partners. Results: A total of 1104 subjects took part, of whom 638 were occupationally exposed to lead at the relevant time. Blood lead levels were mainly less than 50 µg/dl. No consistent association of Time To Pregnancy with lead regroups was found in any of the exposure models, although reduced fertility was abserved in one actemative this houselflow to the term indextification of key variables to the context the miders.	1 month 2 months 3 months 4-6 months 7-9 months 10-12 months 13+ months The values given are t grouped for convent	66 (28) 43 (46) 32 (60) 43 (78) 10 (82) 19 (90) 23 (100) the number becoming prince.	111 (48) 35 (64) 25 (74) 27 (86) 8 (90) 9 (94) 15 (100) regnant in that interv	35 (49) 14 (69) 9 (82) 6 (90) 1 (92) 3 (96) 3 (100) ol (in parenthese	78 (50) 32 (71) 11 (78) 12 (85) 5 (89) 11 (96) 7 (100) es: cumulative per	84 (52) 17 (62) 20 (75) 14 (83) 5 (87) 4 (89) 18 (100) rcentages of thos	81 (44) 25 (58) 18 (67) 30 (84) 6 (87) 7 (91) 17 (100) e pregnont up1	21 (32) 12 (51) 8 (63) 9 (77) 2 (80) 4 (86) 9 (100) to the end of the	476 (43) 178 (59) 123 (70) 141 (83) 37 (87) 57 (92) 92 (100) e current interval),
insufficient statistical power, or to bias, for example, response bias. If any impairment of male reproductive function exists at the levels of accupational lead exposure now current, it does not appear to reduce biological fertility. Joffe et al., 2003	Methods: 1 1970s, sup term (recer Cox propo both partm Results: A relevant fir Pregnancy observed i Conclusion insufficient function es biological	should be assess plemented by im the exposure (2) it total of 1104 su total of 1104 su nee. Blood lead k with lead expose statistical power, ists at the levels fertility.	a me to ford nent was main puted values v sotal duration of nodel with disc bjects took pre- evels were mac ure was found ach in models negative result or to bias, for of occupation	su Assieption nly by bloo where necess of work in a crete ties wo art, of whou in any of the in any of the in any of a (2) and (3) is unlikely example, re nal lead ex	striped: vid lead valu ssary. Three lead using i as used for th m 638 were an 50 µg/d the exposure to be due to esponse bia: posure now Joff	es, which v exposure m industry; an he statistical e occupation II. No consi e models, a the misclas s. If any imp r current, it fe et al.,	vere availe todels were d (3) cumu analysis, nally expo stent assocition of airment of does not 2003	able from a studied: ( lative expo with covari sed to lease iation of duced ferti of key varior male repro- appear to	the late (1) short ssure. A iates for d at the Time To lifty was sables, to aductive reduce



Effects of Occup on Reproductive and Fect	ational So Hormone undability	Concen in Men	xposure trations	
Ulrike Luderer, MD, PAD, MP William J. Bremmer, MD, PAD, * Elain Harvey Checkoway, P	<sup>1</sup> Abigail Bushline M. Faustman, P. Bo, <sup>2</sup> and Carl Andrews, P. C.	ey, Pap, <sup>2,3</sup> Bert hp, <sup>2</sup> Timothy P rew Brodkin, a	D. Stover, <sup>2</sup> C. Takaro, so, mrs, <sup>2</sup> ID, Mrsi <sup>2</sup>	
Variable	Carpenter (n = 40)	Millwright (n = 25)	Painter(n = 32)	P-value
		$Mean\pmSD$		
Age (years)	$46.8 \pm 8.3$	$499 \pm 92$	$42.7 \pm 7.8$	0.006
Height (m)	$1.8 \pm 0.06$	$1.8\pm0.06$	$1.8 \pm 0.05$	0.911
Weight (kg)	$912 \pm 12.2$	$93.8 \pm 13.3$	$88.6 \pm 14.1$	0.330
BMf <sup>a</sup> (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$28.8 \pm 4.3$	$29.5 \pm 4.2$	$28.0 \pm 4.0$	0.406
Years in job	$22.8 \pm 7.5$	$22.2 \pm 9.0$	$19.5 \pm 8.5$	0.233
Years of college	$0.82 \pm 1.43$	$0.64 \pm 1.08$	$0.31 \pm 0.82$	0.191
Years of vocational training	$0.75 \pm 1.48$	$0.78 \pm 1.32$	$0.16 \pm 0.57$	0.073
Thinners, degreasers, varnishes, adhesives El <sup>e</sup>	$6.8 \pm 10.3$	$12.0 \pm 14.5$	$219 \pm 17.1$	< 0.001
Aromatic solvent El	$14 \pm 4.3$	$0.7 \pm 1.8$	8.1 ±9.1	< 0.001
Chlorinated solvent El	$10 \pm 4.3$	$49\pm8.3$	$2.2 \pm 6.2$	0.049
Total solvent El	$10.3 \pm 13.5$	$21.1 \pm 22.9$	$420 \pm 322$	< 0.001
Blood lead (µg/dl)	$11 \pm 1.5$	$2.3 \pm 1.8$	$1.7 \pm 1.2$	0.017







#### Male occupational exposure and sexuality

Occupational exposure to bisphenol-A (BPA) and the risk of self-reported male sexual dysfunction

- Workers from BPA-exposed and control factories
- Male sexual function ascertained by male sexual function inventory
   RESULTS
- Exposed workers had a significantly increased risk of:
- reduced sexual desire OR = 3.9, 95% CI: 1.8–8.6
- erectile difficulty OR = 4.5, 95% CI 2.1–9.8)
- ejaculation difficulty OR = 7.1, 95% CI 2.9–17.6
- reduced satisfaction with sex life OR = 3.9, 95% CI 2.3–6.6

Li et al., 2010





#### Psychological stress at work and delayed TTP

#### OBJECTIVE:

The aim of this study was to explore an association between psychosocial stress in married male workers of a large Korean petrochemical enterprise and TTP

#### RESULTS:

After adjustment for confounding effects of life-style characteristics and benzene exposure, delayed TTP was associated with one standard deviation (SD) increase of the effort-reward ratio in the chronically stressed group of married men (OR = 0.47; 95% CI = 0.22-0.99)

Lee et al., 2009





#### The risk of infertility depends on:

- To how many chemicals the man is exposed
- Is there any protection?
- The duration of exposure (how many hours?)
- The frequency of exposure: daily? weekly? with possible prolonged alternance periods (farmers)
- From how many years the man is exposed?

It also depends on his medical history, current health status, various lifestyle factors,...

and ... the same is true for the female partner

Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

#### In practice? for the andrologist/occupational physician

For any worker potentially exposed, living with a female partner and having a child project

- Question +++ on the duration of infertility (evaluated similarly to a TTP)
- Check-up by andrologist recommended
- For a given exposure with recommended measures of protection, assess the degree of effective protection in order to contribute in reducing at best the potential male reproductive hazards
- Vigilance + + + for any exposure(s) suspected to provoke sperm DNA damage
#### Reviews

- Burdorf et al. Effects of occupational exposure on the reproductive system: core evidence and practical implications. Occup Med 2006 56:516-20.
- 2008 55:15:20. Hause and Szkal. Science Inking environmental contaminant exposures with fertility and reproductive health impacts in the adult mails. Entril Steril 2008, 89(2 Suppl):59-65. Jeasen et al. The Influence of occupational exposure on male reproductive function. Occup Med 2006, 56:544-53. Literature cited

- Little Jacure di Schem morphological defects related to environment, lifestyle and medical history of 1001 male partners of pregnant women from four European ciles. Hum Reprod 2001. 16:2710-7. Bonde at al, Some out and chromatis structure in men exposed to inorganic lead: lowest adverse effect levels. Occup Environ Med 2002, 59:234-42. Bilain at al, increase in scrotal temperature in are riverse. Hum Reprod 2000. 15:1355-7. De Flatzins et al. Occupational exposures obtained by questionnaire in clinical practice and their association with semen quality. J Andro 2003, 59:366-79. Figs Telamanca at al. Effects of prolonged autovehicle driving on male reproduction function: a study among taxi drivers. Am J Cohrenovables et al. Some Antracylistication of an advectime to increase in the second on the se

- Ind Med 1996, 30:750-8. Gebreagrabher at al. Spern characteristics of endurance trained cyclists. Int J Sports Med 2004, 25:247-51. Holland et al. Impact of durant scroles temperature on semain quality. Report Toxicol 2002, 16:215-21. Joing et al. Timo To Pregnancy and occupational lead exposure. Occup Environ Med 2004, 80:752-8. Jung et al. Timorement of seman quality by noctural scrolar local acid moderate behaviouri change to reduce genital heat stress in mer with oligoasthenoteratozoopermia. Reproduction 2011, 12:1535-603. Let et al. Platent work stress and prolonged time to pregnancy. Int Arch Occup Environ Health 2009, 82:209-16. Litt al. Occupational exposure to bisphenic-A (BPA) and the risk of self-reported male sexual dyslunction. Hum Reprod 2010, 25:11-27.

- 25.519-27. <u>Linderer at al</u>. Effects of occupational solvent exposure on reproductive hormone concentrations and fecundability in men. Am J Ind Med 2004, 46.514-28. <u>Multiper et al</u>. Assessment of sperm DNA integrity in workers exposed to styrene. Hum Reprod 2002, 17.2912-8. <u>Multiper et al</u>. Glocal ethers and semen quality, a cross-sectional study among male workers in the Paris Municipality. Occup Environ Med 2007, 64.467-73. <u>Multiper et al</u>. Parallel assessment of male reproductive function in workers and wild rats exposed to pesticides in banana planations in Quadicupae. Environ Health 2008, 30:40. <u>Sanchar Dans at al</u>. Organo/posphrous pesticide exposure alters sperm chromatin structure in Maxican agricultural workers. Toxicol Appl Phanana 2004, 196-103.
- Basic course on Environment and Human Male Reproduction ESHRE PRE-CONGRESS COURSE / ROME 2010

## Endocrine disrupters and semen quality

#### Marieta Fernández

Biomedical Research Center University of Granada, University Hospital, Granada, Spain

Rome, Italy June, 2010

# Overview Background EDs: Mechanisms and classes Human health effects of EDs Human exposure to EDs Lessons learned and implications



## The Precautionary Principle:

...., the Government of Canada is proposing to reduce bisphenol A (BPA) exposure in infants and newborns by the following actions:



 To ban polycarbonate baby bottles
 To develop stringent migration targets for BPA in infant formula cans

 To work with industry to develop alternative food packaging and develop a code of practice
 To list BPA under Schedule 1 of the Canadian

Environmental Protection Act.

## Background

- Scientific community has the feeling that systems for environmental protection have failed.....
- Medical community has the feeling that things are not as good as expected.....

\*Prague Declaration on Endocrine Disruption http://www.edenresearch.info/public/PragueDeclaration.pdf





## Inadvertent exposure to POPs



Organohalogens in placenta and in human milk





Constantina Akkelidou	Cyprus	Ministe	r of Health	
Libor Ambrozek	Czech Republic	Ministe	r of Environment	
Hans Christian Schmidt	Denmark	Ministe	r of Environment	
Olavi Tammemäe	Estonia	Vice m	inister Environment	
Jan-Erik Enestam	Finland	Ministe	r of Environment	
Serge Lepeltier	France	Ministe	r of Environment	
Miklós Persányi	Hungary	Ministe	r of Environment	
Mihaly Kokeny	Hungary	Ministe	r of Health	
Roberto Tortoli	Italy	Vice M	inister Environment	
Juozas Olekas	Lithuania	Minister of Health		
Laszlo Miklos	Slovakia	Minister of Environment		
Cristina Narbona	Spain	Minister of Environment		
Lena Sommestad Sweden		Ministe	r of Environment	
Alun Michael	UK	Ministe	r of Environment	
CI	emical		Percentage of Ministe	rs Contaminated
22	separate PCB congeners		100	
P.I	)-DDE (OC Pesticide)		100	
He	Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) (OC Pesticide) 100			
BE	E-153 (Brominated Flame Retard	Jant) 100		
B-1	HCH (OC Pesticide)	93		
PF	OA, PFNA (Perfluorinated Chemi	cal)	75 (of the 12 tested)	
DE	HP (Di Ethyl Hexy Phthalate)		79	







## Definition

## Endocrine Disrupter:

An exogenous substance that alters functions of the endocrine system and consequently causes adverse health effects in an intact organism, or its progeny, or (sub)populations.



## Mechanisms of Action of EDs

- Mimic or block hormone-receptor binding
- Alter hormone production, metabolism, excretion
- Modify hormonal transport or carrier protein
- Change hormone/receptor gene transcription activity
- · Alter receptor levels in specific tissues

## Mechanisms of action EDs

- Receptor binding
- ER, AR, AhR, GR, (agonists and antagonists)
- Enzyme Inhibition

   Steroidogenesis, thyroid peroxidase
- Enzyme induction
  - CYP450s, thyroxine conjugation
- · Signal transduction pathways
  - Phosphatases/kinases, transcrip factors

## **Classes of EDs**

Fungicides	Vinclozolin, Ketaconazole
Herbicides	Atrazine
Insecticides	DDT, Methoxychlor
Metals	Tributyltin, Cadmium
Pharmaceuticals	Ethynyl Estradiol
Phenols	Bisphenol A
Plasticizers	Phthalates
Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons	PCBs, dioxin
Soy Products	Genistein
Surfactants	Alkylphenol Ethoxylates, PFOS
Flame retardants	PBDEs

## Sources of Exposure

- · Farming, livestock and forestry practices
- · Industrial chemicals
- Waste incineration
- Sewage discharge
- · Human and industrial waste
- · Consumer products
- Food
- Pharmaceuticals

## Exposure scenario in ED

How are humans exposed to EDs?

Exposure occurs mainly through diet but also in an occupational setting

•Food, Water, Indoor air, Dust, Soil

Timing and duration of exposure

•Children: Pregnancy and Lactation



		ED anda	Mandana	8/			
	lipid	SD ng/g lipid	ng/g lipid	76 frequency			
Aldrin	25.56	24.66	137.20	40	t.		
Endrin	47.43	36.74	148.13	7	6		CHEM
Dieldrin	17.01	16.75	84.05	28.5	¥		crient
Endosulfan-ether	1.04	0.78	3.98	68	R	Chancephare 62 (2006) 191	www.abstriet.com/lineat
Endosulfan-lactone	2.02	1.14	4.23	10.5			
Endosulfan-diol	9.23	12.31	64.99	26	1	Environmental and I	ifestyle factors
Endosulfan-sulfate	12.17	13.04	48.50	13.5	for orga	inochlorine exposure	among women living
Endosulfan I	6.02	6.69	23.07	17		in Southern	Spain
Endosulfan II	73.36	103.73	414.15	14	1. Cerrille	* M.F. Olen-Serrano <sup>b</sup> . 1	Ibarluzea ( 1 Exposito 4
Total endosulfans	21.37	54.63	417.59	78	Р.	Torne 4, J. Laguna F, V. F	edraza ", N. Olea "."
Lindane	17.44	17.84	113.31	55			
o,p'-DDT	13.46	12.93	57.07	12	livest co	m	
p.p'-DDT	61.01	51.20	246.51	39	mecco		
p.p'-DDD	95.66	75.18	297.43	10.5	r*		Environmen
p.p'-DDE	508.83	410.54	2637.67	100			Research
Total DDTs	543.25	432.51	2806.22	100			Rescuren
Methoxychlor	29.86	43.71	155.58	5.5	) 34-40		
					,	http:	theme also international ocatal
SD, standard deviat	ion.					inde-	
Exposure	of wom	nen to	organo	chlorin	e pest	icides in So	uthern Spai
			e				
Begoña Bo	tella, Jorg	e Cresp	o, Ana Ri and	ivas, Isat Nicolás	oel Cerr Olea*	illo, Maria Fát	ima Olea-Serra
Laboratory of Medic	ar investigations	, Department	oj kaalology, 2	senoor of Medi	cune, Hospit	at Cimico, University of	Granada, 18071 Granada,
	Received	5 June 2003:	received in revi	ised form 30 S	and any hear 20	OT: constant 0 Out a hore	2002

Table 3 Residues of organochlorine pe	sticides it serum samples (N	= 220)				
	Mean (ng/mL)	SD (ng/mL)	Median (ng/mL)	Maximum (ng/mL)	Frequency (%)	
Endosulfan I	2.10	2.81	1.47	19.39	80.40	
Endosulfan II	1.31	0.58	1.00	6.85	34.40	
Endosulfan-diol	15.39	14.87	9.56	76.86	92.00	
Endosulfan-sulfate	2.17	5.92	0.50	53.32	45.10	
Total endosulfans	25.76	21.79	18.66	145.55	100.00	
og'-DDT	0.71	0.70	0.50	6.26	19.2	
ng'-DDT	3.64	4.91	1.85	40.96	57.6	
a'-DDD	3.24	4.14	2.06	36.58	65.60	
- DDE	5.18	4.07	4.15	25.88	95.00	
2 DDTs	12.77	8.55	10.77	52.34	99.10	
Table 4 Residues of organochlorine pe	sticides in serum samples (N	= 220)				
	Mean (ng/mL)	SD (ng/mL)	Median (ng/mL)	Maximum (ng/mL)	Frequency (%)	
Aldrin	3.75	4.32	2.62	33.76	79.0	
Endrin	5.04	9.23	1.50	64.04	60.7	
Dieldrin	1.85	2.74	0.50	29.42	40.7	
Lindane	1.84	2.27	1.19	17.72	64.70	-
Methoxychior	2.84	5.09	1.47	53.80	60.70	Fuelman
Hexachlorobenzene	3.88	4.50	2.31	30.29	79.9	Environment
	ELSEVI	ER	Environmental Resear	sh 1 000 00-00		disevier.com/locate/en
	Expos	sure of young n	nen to organo	chlorine pesti	cides in So	athern Spa
		Javier Carreño <sup>a</sup> , A Miguel N	na Rivas <sup>a</sup> , Alicia G fariscal <sup>a</sup> , Nicolas G	Granada <sup>a</sup> , Maria Dlea <sup>b</sup> , Fatima Ok	Jose Lopez-Es a-Serrano <sup>a, e</sup>	pinosa <sup>b</sup> ,
		*Department of *Laborator	Natritist and Food Science, 2 ry of Medical Investigations, B	ichool of Pharmacy, Universit Impital Clinico, University of	ty of Granada, Spain Granada, Spain	

_				
_				
-				
-				
_				

## Exposure to PCBs & Dioxins



From Management to Reclassification and Disposal

PCBs were used since 1929 in various electrical applications.

While no PCBs are longer produced, they can be found in older electrical installations and marine sediments.

Dioxins are produced as a by-product during paper manufacturing, incineration and production of chlorinated aromatics.

These compounds are very persistent and continue to cycle in the environment.



## Exposure to OBrs



Brominated flame retardants (BFRs) used in polymers and textiles and applied in construction materials, furniture, and electronic equipment.

BFRs with the highest production volume are:

- polybrominated diphenyl ethers

tetrabromobisphenol A (TBBP-A)
 hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD)







# Exposure to OFs



Perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs),

used since the 1950s, designed to repel grease and water in:

Stain- resistant coatings such as Scotchgard and Stainmaster for carpets, couches, and other upholstered furniture and automobile seat

Water-repellent like Gore-Tex

## Exposure to phthalates



Phthalates have been widely used as plasticizers in many products since the 1930s.

Found in plastic wrap, PVC, vinyl flooring, and ink used to print on plastic containers.

High levels of DEHP in some products used in vehicles like brake pads, serpentine belts and tires.

Phthalates are used in some cosmetics and some packaging.



## Exposure to bisphenols



More than 1.2  $10^6$  Tm/year of Bisphenol-A (BPA) are produced in the EU.

BPA is used in epoxy resins and polycarbonate plastic It is an additive in acrylic and vinylic resins and in synthetic rubber, as well as in many other products: inks, tonners, brake fluids....

Beside BPA, Bisphenol-F, Bisphenol A-F, Bisphenol-S, Bisphenol-C, BADGE and Bis-GMA are bisphenols of concern









	Total samples $(n = 40)$	Cardboard (n=32)	Paper $(n=8)$
Arithmetic mean	60.52	64.24	45.61
Geometric mean	11.97	13.33	7.78
Median	18.69	21.51	16.80
Standard deviation	12.55	13.02	12.09
Range	0.01-355.50	0.01-355.50	0.08-280.90
Frequency (%)	90.00	90.63	87.50
sidues M	Total samples $(n=40)$	Cardboard (n=32)	Paper $(n=8)$
Anishmentic ment	97.34	115.32	25.43
Arithmetic mean	21.24	A A J . J	
Geometric mean	2.38	2.74	1.35
Geometric mean Median	2.38 0.52	2.74 0.52	1.35 0.49
Geometric mean Median Standard deviation	2.38 0.52 16.33	2.74 0.52 17.68	1.35 0.49 12.72
Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05–1817.00	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08–188.00
Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DRP	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05–1817.00 46.88	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08–188.00 37.50
Geometric mean Geometric mean Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49
Geometric mean Geometric mean Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.97	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63
Antimete mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05–1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.97 75.62	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55
Antimete mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.61	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 41.65	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95
Antimetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range	2.38 0.32 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.61 0.0-10774.00	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 41.65 0.0-10774.00	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.10-3049.00
Anninesse mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) BP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%)	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.61 0.10-10774.00 67.50	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 41.65 0.10-10774.00 59.38	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.10-3049.00 100
Partification mean Median Standard deviation Range Prequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Prequency (%) DBHP	2.58 0.52 10.33 0.05-1817.00 455.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.61 0.0-10774.00 67.50	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 446.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 41.65 0.10-10774.00 59.38	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.10-3049.00 100
Antimesia mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) JBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) JEHP Arithmetic mean	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.61 0.10-10774.00 67.50 3901.56	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 46.88 706.32 20.07 75.62 41.65 0.10-10774.00 59.38 706.32	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.10-3049.00 100 740.49
Jonanness mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBHP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817,00 45.00 713,17 37,39 121,34 0,10-10774,00 67.50 3901,56 341,74	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-1817.00 446.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 41.65 0.10-10774.00 59.38 706.32 302.11	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 37.50 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.10-3049.00 100 740.49 559.54
Antimetics mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Prequency (%) DEHP Frequency (%) DEHP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Geometric mean Median	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817,00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 35.01 0.10-1074.00 67.50 3901.56 341.74 893.48	2.74 0.752 17.68 0.025-1817.00 46.88 700.32 20.97 75.62 41.65 0.10-10774.00 9.38 706.32 302.11 814.44	1.35 0.49 12.72 0.08-188.00 377.63 740.49 377.63 548.55 3.95 29.0-3.049.00 100 740.49 559.54 2751.84
Janumeus mean Median Standard deviation Range Prequency (%) DBP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation Range Frequency (%) DBHP Arithmetic mean Geometric mean Geometric mean Median Standard deviation	2.38 0.52 16.33 0.05-1817.00 45.00 713.17 37.39 121.84 0.30-1074.00 67.50 3901.56 3341.74 893.48 2.3.27	2.74 0.52 17.68 0.05-187.00 46.88 706.32 20.97 75.62 0.10-1077.40 9.38 700.32 3002.11 814.44 22.53	1, 35 0, 49 12, 72 0, 08 - 188, 80 37, 50 740, 49 377, 63 548, 55 3, 95 29, 10 - 5049, 00 100 740, 49 559, 54 2751, 84 31, 36







## Exposure to alkylphenols



Detergents contain surfactants, such us nonylphenol, used to improve cleaning.

Alkylphenols are also used as carriers for some pesticides to make the pesticide stick to the plant.

They are used as plasticizers and UV stabilizers in plastics.



# Exposure to UV-filters



Benzophenone-3 (BP-3) Octyl-methoxycinnamate (OMC) 3-(4-methylbenzylidene) camphor (4-MBC)

....once they are absorbed by the skin they modify hormone levels

Janjua et al., Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2004) **123**, 57–61 Systemic absorption of the sunscreens benzophenone-3, octylmethoxycinnamate, and 3-(4-methyl-benzylidene) camphor after whole-body topical application and reproductive hormone levels in humans

## Some putative outcomes in humans

- Urogenital malformations (male)
- -Impaired spermatogenesis (male)
- -Breast cancer (female)
- -Sexual maturation (female)
- -Endometriosis (female)
- -Sex ratio
- Immune effects
- -Prostate and thyroid carcinogenesis

## Working Hypothesis

Carlsen *et al.*, (2008), Human Reprod **23(1)**: 201-10 Swan *et al.*, Int J Androl 1997, 2000.

# The exposure to environmental pollutants (EDs) may cause diseases in humans:

Several studies indicate that semen quality has been declining during the past half century in industrialized countries, with remarkably geographical variations

## Epidemiology

•Epidemiology studies show a strong evidence of association between persistent organic pollutants (PCBs, DDE, TCDD, PFOA,..) and abnormal sperm quality, especially on motility

....while other effects (sperm count and morphology, or testicular function) are less convinced

## **Clinical Observation**

- Exposure to ED, during fetal life, may contribute to the increased in male reproductive health problems

Swan *et al.,* (2005), EHP **113**:1056-61 - Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalates exposure





## Exposure scenario in ED

- · Multiple exposure
- "Low doses"
- During large periods of time
- Delay of effects against time of exposure
- Health problems cause by multiple factors

## Exposure scenario in ED

#### The association remains elusive

- The hypothesis cannot be tested on the basis of individual compounds

- A comprehensive study of all possible EDs --metabolites, isomers and congeners would be an ideal approach

## Exposure scenario in ED

Synergetic, additive, and/or antagonistic interactions between chemicals and hormones should be considered

Different methods have been proposed by Soto and coworkers, Kortenkamp and coworkers, and others to overcome the unpredictability of xenoestrogen interactions.

## Challenge for epidemiology

Take account of specific exposure scenario relevant to adverse health effects

Low level exposure to large numbers of chemicals

## Total Effective Xenoestrogen Burden (TEXB)

## Biomarker of exposure to mixtures of xenoestrogens

- Goes beyond the quantification of environmental estrogens
- Measures the biological activity resulting from xenoestrogens
- Biomarkers in a continuum of disease development

Highe g	r TEXB-alpha: a risk factor for enital tract malformations
TEXB, Fernandez et al. (2007), EHP 115 (S1), 8-14	Objective: To determine whether the combined effect of environmental estrogens measured as the total effective xenoestrogen burden is a risk factor for cryptorchidism and hypospadias Prospective cohort study with 668 boys recruited at the time of delivery between October 2000 to
	June 2002. - A nested case-control study was selected.
	- 50 cases and 114 controls.

# Higher TEXB-alpha: a risk factor for genital tract malformations

TEXB,
Fernandez
et al. (2007),
EHP 115
<b>(S1)</b> , 8-14

-OR adjusted for mother's age and weight of newborn in a conditional regression analysis

OR = 2.82 (95% CI = 1.10-7.24)

For values above detection limit (DL= 0.5 pM Ee/g placenta) compared with values below DL

Mean values were not statistically significant different: 3.92  $\pm$  9.12 and 2.08  $\pm$  7.19 pM Ee/g plac, for cases and controls

## **Research questions**

- What are the chemical classes and their potencies?
- What are the dose-response characteristics in the low-dose region?
- · Are testing guidelines adequate?
- What extrapolation tools are needed?















REGION	Rigshospitalet	Increa	asing incider Put	ice of Precocious perty in Denmark
	Studies	Year	DK population	Incidence
	Thamdrup	1961	4.5 mio	3-4 per year
	Teilmann	2002	5.3 mio	50-70 per year*
				Teilmann, et al. Pediatrics 2005















































































Rigshospi	talet CONCLUSION
1.	GIRLS
	Marked earlier breast development (1 year) in Danish girls from 1991 to 2006
	- effect of BMI
2.	BOYS
	Slightly earlier testicular growth (0.35 years) in Danish boys from 1991 to 2006.
	+ effect of BMI
3.	Secular changes must be attributed to environmental factors (i.e. non-genetic)

## Lifestyle Factors as Indicators of Male Reproductive Function

Sally Perreault Darney, Ph.D. Basic course on environment and human male reproduction ESHRE, June 27, 2010, Rome

## Are Lifestyle factors associated with semen quality?

· Factors (amount of · Endpoints (amount of evidence)

## evidence)

- Sperm count/conc (+++) - Sperm motility (++)
- Smoking (+++) - Alcohol (++)
- Caffeine (+)
- Obesity (+)
- Drugs" (who me?)
- Mobile phones (+)
- Stress (+)
- Quality of motion (+)
- Sperm morphology (++)
- Sperm DNA damage (+)
- Sperm chromosome
- abnormalities (+)

## Smoking & Semen Quality

Is smoking an independent risk factor for poor semen quality or fertility?

- If so, then doctors can advise patients accordingly and with confidence ("Quit smoking and your sperm count will improve.")
- Evidence is extensive for lower sperm numbers and plentiful for morphology and motility, but inconsistent in findings, with some studies showing associations and some not (Collodel et al. 2010; Vine et al., 1994)
- Impact may depend on both amount of exposure (# cigarettes/day) and duration (pack years)
- Lifestyle factors may co-occur. Additive or synergistic?
  - Smoking and drinking? ("Pub" lifestyle, Rubes et al., 1998)
  - Abuse of alcohol and drugs? Unhealthy lifestyles, poor nutrition.
  - Smoking and vitamin C (protective?)

## **Context: Environmental Exposures**

Does smoking change the relationship between an exposure and an outcome?

- If so, then it needs to be considered a confounder in environmental or occupational studies
  - E.g. Air pollution + cigarette smoke share physical/chemical properties
- Challenge: Impact likely small in both cases and hard to distinguish

## **Biological Plausibility**

- · Cigarette smoke contains:
  - Poly-cyclic aromatic hydrocarbons that can be metabolized to carcinogenic intermediates
  - Cadmium
  - Particles resulting from combustion (not unlike air pollution)
  - Nicotine
- Creates hypoxia (CO)
- Could have acute or chronic effects on testis/sperm

## Are men with inherently poor semen quality more susceptible to effects of smoking?

- Collodel et al., 2010, evaluated effects of smoking in men with idiopathic infertility but without other risk factors (screened for genetics, alcohol & drug abuse, occupational exposures or health factors).
- · And were either smokers or never smoked.
- Smokers classified: Cigs/day: 1-10 (mild), 11-20 (moderate) or >20 (heavy).
- · Ultrastructure, and routine semen measures
- Infertile men differed from a control group, i.e., men with normal semen quality (WHO), but within the infertile group, smokers did not differ from non-smokers except for sperm concentration and a Fertility Index which were reduced in the heavy smokers only.

# Are fertile men less susceptible to smoking and other lifestyle exposures?

- The "Healthy Men Study" (HMS)
  - Partners of pregnant women in a pregnancy outcome study
  - Exposure of interest: Disinfection byproducts (DBPs) in drinking water
  - Men lived in community with low DBPs, or high chlorinated DBPs or high brominated DBPs.
  - Exposure carefully characterized
  - Semen: Count/conc., morphology, and DNA damage (SCSA-%DFI) and immaturity (SCSA-%HDS)
  - No differences were found based on DBP exposures (Luben et al, 2007), adjusting for other factors.

# Analysis of Lifestyle Exposure factors in HMS

- Smoking: current, former or never; 0, 1-10, or >10 cigarettes/day, and # years smoked (0, 1-5, 6-10 and >10). Pack years: #/day /20 x #years.
- Alcohol: calculated based on average # drinks [beers (12 oz), wine (4 oz) and hard liquor (1oz)] and categorized by # drinks/week: 0-7, 8-15 and >15.
- Caffeine: Based on Coffee (and other caffeinated drinks), mg caffeine/day was calculated and categorized: none, >0 to 150 (low), >150-300 (moderate) and >300 (high = 3 cups coffee).

## Statistical Analysis in HMS

- Lifestyle exposure factors were examined (controlling for study site, age, income, education, abstinence interval, history of chronic or serious illness, body mass index (BMI), with other study exposures (smoking, alcohol, caffeine) as potential confounders.
- (shroking, alcoho, carlenie) as bused to estimate associations of each lifestyle exposure factor and each outcome. Full model (with all covariates) was evaluated for each covariate and only those that changed the parameter estimate of the exposure variable by at least 10% were retained. Age, sexual abstinence, income and study site were retained as obligate, along with any factor that met the criteria for confounding
- Semen outcomes were also dichotomized when possible for logistic regression: percent normal forms at <15%; and, SCSA %DFI at >30% according to the literature.

## HMS: Characteristics

- · 229 men from 3 study sites
  - Young: 25-34 years of age (70%)
  - White, non-hispanic (84%)
  - Most college educated with income >\$40K
  - Overweight or obese by BMI (73%) - Smokers: 16% (vs. 23.4% nationally) Former smokers: 18%
    - Only 2 men smoked >1 pack/day
  - Non-drinkers (29%) or <1 drink/day (55%) with only 6% heavy drinkers (>14 drinks/week)

  - Caffeine consumers (83%) with 26% ingesting >300mg/day

## HMS semen quality: Better than most published cohorts

- Mean concentration: 110 mil/ml
- Mean count: 348 mil
- Mean % normal forms: 14
- Mean % DFI: 19
- Mean % HDS: 8

## HMS examined independent effects of lifestyle exposures

- · Current smoking was not associated with semen decrements, even SCSA %DFI. Previous smoking (>30 days ago) was associated with improved semen quality (unexplained).
- · Drinking was not associated with semen decrements; on the contrary light to moderate drinking appeared to be protective for some outcomes.
- Caffeine intake was weakly associated with fewer • normal forms

## BMI and semen quality in the HMS

- Excess body fat is thought to alter the endocrine balance which could impact sperm production and function but very few studies have examined the impact of BMI on semen quality.
- A few studies found BMI associated with decrements in semen measures (e.g. Kort et al., 2006; Hammoud et al., 2008) while others did not (e.g. Aggerholm et al., 2007)
- Among HMS volunteers, nearly half were overweight (BMI 25-29.9) and 25% were obese (BMI >30)

# Obesity was not a risk factor in HMS

- After controlling for confounders and other factors, BMI was not associated with semen decrements; rather obese men had higher sperm concentrations.
- HMS participants, in general, appear to have healthy lifestyles with fewer smokers than the general population and few heavy drinkers in this cohort.
- Perhaps this combination of healthy living contributes to their above average semen quality even though, as a group, they are overweight.
- The literature on obesity is limited and more studies, including those that evaluate both semen quality and hormone profiles, will be needed to explain discrepant findings across existing studies.

## Are some men more susceptible than others? What about susceptibility genes?

- A negative association between air pollution and DNA damage (SCSA DFI) was more significant when men were stratified by GSTm1 genotype.
- Men with GSTm1 null genotype were more susceptible than those with the normal gene (and enzyme) to air pollution-induced DNA damage. (Rubes et al., 2007).
- Other semen outcomes were not significantly associated with air pollution or genotype. Results were comparable with or without smoking in the model.

## Conclusions

- A number of lifestyle exposure and metabolic factors may impact male reproduction, either singly or together.
- It is difficult to detect significant impacts of any one factor against a backdrop of exposures from other factors and • from exogenous environmental exposures to man made chemicals.
- New exposure factors such as cell phones also have the potential to disrupt male reproduction.
- Very little is currently known about the mechanisms behind observed associations, how lifestyle factors may interact, and whether some men are inherently more vulnerable than others.
- The HMS findings show that, on average, men in this fertile cohort have above average semen quality and below average consumption of cigarettes and alcohol.

## References cited:

- .
- Aggerholm AS, Thuistrup AM, Toft G, Ramlau-Hansen CH, Bonde JP. Is overweight a risk factor for reduced semen quality and altered serum sex hormone profile? Fertil Steril 2007;1-8. Collodel G, Capitani S, Pammolli A, Giannerini V, Geminiani M and Moretti E. Semen quality of male idiopathic infertile smokers and nonsmokers: An ultrastructural study. J Androl 2010;31:108-113. Hammoud AO, Wilde N, Gibson M, Parks A, Carrell DT, Meikle AW. Male obesity and alteration in sperm parameters. Fertil Steril 2008;1-4. Kort HI, Massey JB, Elsner CW, et al. Impact of body mass index values of sperm quantity and quality. J Androl 2008;27:450-52. Rubes J, Lowe X, Moore D, Perreault SD, Slott V, Evenson D, Selevan S and Wyrobek AJ. Smoking cigarettes is associated with increased sperm disomy in teenage men. Fert. Steril 1998; 70:715-723. Rubes J, Selevan SG, Sram RJ, Evenson DP and Perreault SD GSTM1
- Rubes J, Selevan SG, Sram RJ, Evenson DP and Perreault SD GSTM1 genotype influences the susceptibility of men to sperm DNA damage associated with exposure to air pollution. Mutat Res. 2007; 625:20-28. Vine MF, Margolin BH, Morrison HI, Hulka BS. Cigarette smoking and sperm density: a meta-analysis. Fertil. Steril. 1994;61:35-43.

### HYPOSPADIAS AND CRYPTORCHIDISM AND ENVIRONMENT

Jorma Toppari Professor of Physiology University of Turku Chief Physician of Pediatric Endocrinology Turku University Hospital Turku, Finland

**ESHRE 2010** 



## Disclosures

- I have received lecture honoraria from Eli Lilly, Merck-Serono, Novo Nordisk Pharma, and Pfizer during the past year
- The studies have been supported by grants from
  - EU, Envir. Reprod. Health, Expored, Eden, DEER
  - Academy of Finland
  - Pediatric Research Foundation
  - Sigrid Juselius Foundation
  - Turku University Hospital

## Cryptorchidism: classification



## Incidence of cryptorchidism

- · Congenital cryptorchidism
  - Increasing trends in some countries
  - Diagnostic controversies
- Acquired cryptorchidism (ascending testis)
- · Orchiopexy rate



## Material (Cohort study)

- All newborn boys of volunteer mothers (born 1997 -1999 in Turku, n=1455, 1997-2001 in Copenhagen, n=1046)
  - Questionnaire during pregnancy
  - Clinical examinations
    - All boys: At birth and at 3 months of age
    - Cases and controls: Also at 18 months of age
  - Biological samples
    - Blood sample around 10 15 gestational weeks
    - Placenta
    - Breast milk (4-8 weeks after birth)
    - Blood sample at 3 months of age

## Case-control -study

- All boys with genital malformations and healthy control boys of volunteer mothers (born in TUCH 1.1.1997 - 28.2.2002)
  - Questionnaire after birth
  - Clinical examinations
    - At birth, at 3 months of age and at 18 months of age
  - Biological samples
    - Blood sample around 10 15 gestational weeks
    - Placenta
    - Breast milk (4-8 weeks after birth)
    - Blood sample at 3 months of age



## Risks related to cryptorchidism

- Testicular cancer
  - 4-5 fold risk; 5-7 % of testis cancer patients
  - Age at treatment may or may not modify risk
- Infertility
  - 35-50 % of bilateral, 10-20 % of unilateral
  - Lower age at treatment decreases the risk




	No cryptorchid / no examined		Prevalence %		Odds Ratio (95%)
	Denmark	Finland	Denmark	Finland	Denmark/Finland*
BW< 2500g	9/40	5/29	22.5	17.2	1.5 (0.4.5.5) †
8W≥ 2500g	85/1006	30/1426	8.4	2.1	4·8 (3·0-7·5) †
GA< 37 wk	13/60	4/61	21.7	6.6	3-8 (1-1-12-7) ‡
GA≥37 wk	81/986	31/1394	8.2	2.2	4.3 (2.8-6.7) ‡
WGA<-2SD	6/39	2/26	15.4	7.7	2·2 (0·4-12·5) §
WGA≥-2SD	88/1007	33/1429	8.7	2.3	4·5 (2·9-7·0) §



## SGA and risk of cryptorchidism

### · Finland: total hospital cohort

Relative risk of cryptorchidism in boys being SGA:

3.0 (95% CI 1.7-5.5), p=0.002 (at the expected date of delivery)



# Incidence of acquired cryptorchidism

- 1.1 2.2 % between 6-13 years; Hack et al. Arch Dis Child 2007; Sijstermans et al. Int J Androl 2008
- 0.3 0.6 % between ½ 3 years;
  Wohlfahrt Veje et al. Int J Androl 2009
- Cumulative 7 % at 2 years; Acerini et al. Arch Dis Child 2009



## **Regulation of Insl3**

- expression in fetal and adult Leydig cells
- indirect regulation by LH (Leydig cell effect)
- down-regulated by diethylstilbestrol (Emmen et al. Endocrinology 141: 846, 2000)
- mechanism of estrogen-induced cryptorchidism (Nef et al. Dev Biol 24: 354, 2000)





















### Hormone levels in cryptorchidism

- · At 3 months, boys with severe cryptorchidism have
  - elevated LH and FSH levels
  - low inhibin B levels
  - unmeasurable testosterone bioactivity
  - normal testosterone levels
  - decreased INSL-3 levels
- These findings point to primary testicular failure associated to cryptorchidism

### **Risk factors**

- Small for gestational age
- Prematurity
- · Impaired glucose tolerance during gestation
- Alcohol with no lower limit
- · Exposure to estrogenic and antiandrogenic compounds

### Abnormal maternal glucose metabolism and cryptorchidism

- 1163 singleton newborn Finnish boys with normal testicular descent 125 newborn singleton Finnish boys with
- cryptorchidism
- Information about abnormality of maternal glucose metabolism during pregnancy was obtained from hospital records after delivery





































### Pesticides and cryptorchidism

• Cryptorchid boys in Denmark and Finland show a higher exposure to the sum of 8 most prevalent pesticides than the normal boys (Damgaard et al., Environ. Health Perspect. 2006)

### Phthalates and cryptorchidism

- fetal exposure of rats to high doses of dibutyl phthalate causes cryptorchidism (Mahood et al., Int. J. Androl. 2006)
- in human, high exposure to phthalates is associated with a decreased anogenital distance (Swan et al. Environ. Health Perspect. 2005) and a high LH/testosterone ratio (Main et al., Environ. Health Perspect. 2006)

### Polybrominated diphenyl ethers

- Concentration of PBDEs is higher in breast milk of cryptorchid boys than in controls
- This difference is not present in placenta samples that have also much lower PBDE levels

Main et al., Environ. Health Perspect. 2007

































## Summary

- Prevalence of cryptorchidism and hypospadias shows large regional and temporal variation, suggesting environmental connection
- Both disorders can be found in some cases of TDS
- Risk of cryptorchidism is associated with the levels of several endocrine disrupters in breast milk

### Acknowledgments

- University of Turku
  - Helena Virtanen
  - Saku Pakarinen \_ - Anne-Maarit Suomi
  - Marko Kaleva
- · Supported by EU, Envir. Reprod. Health, Expored, Eden, DEER
   Academy of Finland

  - Pediatric Research
  - Foundation Sigrid Juselius Foundation
  - Turku University Hospital

#### · Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen Niels E. Skakkebæk

- Katharina M. Main
- Kirsten Boisen
- Ida Norgil Damgaard
- · Inst. Health and Welfare, Kuopio
  - Hannu Kiviranta Terttu Vartiainen
- · GSF, Munich
  - Heqinq Shen Karl-Werner Schram

### References

- Toppari J et al. Trends in the incidence of cryptorchidism and hypospadias, and methodological limitations of registry-based data. Hum. Reprod. Update 7: 282-286, 2001 Boisen K et al. Difference in prevalence of congenital cryptorchidism in infants between two Nordic countries. Lancet 363:1264-1269, 2004.
- 2004. Boisen K et al. Hypospadias in a cohort of 1072 Danish newborn boys; prevalence and relationship to placental weight, anthropometrical measurements at birth, and reproductive hormone levels at three months of age. J. Clin. Endocr. Metab. 90:4041-4046, 2005. Suomi A-M et al. Hormonal changes in 3-month-old cryptorchid boys. J Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 91:953-958, 2006. Bay K et al. Insulin-like Factor 3 levels in cord blood and serum from children: effects of age, postnatal hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis activation, and cryptorchidism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 92:4020-4027, 2007. Damgaard IN et al. Risk factors for congenital cryptorchidism in a prospective birth cohort study. PLoS ONE 3(8): e3051, 2008

Mark your calendar for the upcoming ESHRE campus workshops!

- Basic Genetics for ART Practitioners organised by the SIG Reproductive Genetics 16 April 2010 - Porto, Portugal
- Array technologies to apprehend developmental competence and endometrial receptivity: limits and possibilities organised by the Task Force Basic Science in Reproduction 22 April 2010 - Brussels, Belgium
- The management of infertility training workshop for junior doctors, paramedicals and embryologists organised by the SIG Reproductive Endocrinology, SIG Embryology and the Paramedical Group 26-27 May 2010 - Kiev, Ukraine
- Preimplantation genetic diagnosis: a celebration of 20 years organised by the SIG Reproductive Genetics 1 July 2010 - Rome, Italy
- EIM 10 years' celebration meeting organised by the European IVF Monitoring Consortium 11 September 2010 - Munich, Germany
- The determinants of a successful pregnancy organised by the SIGS Reproductive Surgery, Early Pregnancy and Reproductive Endocrinology 24-25 September 2010 - Dubrovnik, Croatia
- Basic training workshop for paramedics working in reproductive health organised by the Paramedical Group 6-8 October 2010 - Valencia, Spain
- Forgotten knowledge about gamete physiology and its impact on embryo quality organised by the SIG Embryology 9-10 October 2010 - Lisbon, Portugal

www.eshre.eu (see "Calendar")



Contact us at info@eshre.eu

Page 96 of 105

Keep an eye on our calendar section for more information on

## Upcoming events

- Female and male surgery in human reproductive medicine 8-9 October 2010 Treviso, Italy
- **Promoting excellence in clinical research: from idea to publication** 5-6 November 2010 Thessaloniki, Greece
- "Update on pluripotent stem cells (hESC and iPS)" and hands on course on "Derivation and culture of pluripotent stem cells" 8-12 November 2010 - Valencia, Spain
- Women's health aspects of PCOS (excluding infertility) 18 November 2010 - Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Endoscopy in reproductive medicine 24-26 November 2010 - Leuven, Belgium
- Fertility and Cancer 25-26 November 2010 - Bologna, Italy
- The maternal-embryonic interface 2-3 December 2010 - Valencia, Spain
- GnHR agonist for triggering of final oocyte maturation time for a paradigm shift
  3 December 2010 Madrid, Spain
- Raising competence in psychosocial care
  3-4 December 2010 Amsterdam, The Netherlands

www.eshre.eu (see "Calendar")



Contact us at info@eshre.eu

Page 97 of 105