### **Inseminate to perfection**

#### A. Cantineau

14 december 2009, Genk



# Introduction

The result of intrauterine insemination (IUI) depends on variable factors

- semen quality
- age of the patients
- timing of insemination



# Isis



The live giving qualities of an Egypt goddess



# Methods of timing

- hCG
- LH detection
- GnRH analogs
- Recombinant LH
- Ultrasound detection alone



### hCG versus LH surge detection

	hCG	i	LH su	rge		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Lewis 2006	23	75	17	75	66.0%	1.51 [0.73, 3.13]	
Martinez 1991a	1	12	1	12	5.1%	1.00 [0.06, 18.08]	
Martinez 1991b	4	24	5	24	23.3%	0.76 [0.18, 3.26]	
Zreik 1999	2	28	1	25	5.5%	1.85 [0.16, 21.69]	
Total (95% CI)		139		136	100.0%	1.33 [0.72, 2.45]	◆
Total events	30		24				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.79, df=	3 (P =	0.85); l <sup>2</sup> =	= 0%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.90 (P = 0.37) Favours experimental Favours contr							



Cantineau et al Cochrane database submitted

# hCG versus LH

	applying hCG	LH surge detection
advantages	clinical predictability	cheap non-invasive
disadvantages	spontaneous LH surge	false negative results high drop-out



#### Spontaneous LH surges using hCG

#### 49% of the cycles: spontaneous LH surges

Premature LH and Progesterone rise in intrauterine insemination cycles: analysis of related factors. *Cunha-Filho et al 2003* 

#### 24% of the cycles: spontaneous LH surges

Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation and intrauterine insemination for treating male subfertility: a controlled study. *Cohlen et al. 1998* 

#### 36% of the cycles: spontaneous LH surges

The prevalence and influence of luteinizing hormone surges in stimulated cycles combined with intrauterine insemination during a prospective cohort study. *Cantineau et al. 2007* 



### What to do with premature LH surges?

- Adjust timing when premature LH surge is detected
- Prevent spontaneous LH surges by administrating a GnRH antagonist



# Adjust timing





Cohlen et al 1998 Hum Reprod

### Add GnRH antagonist

#### Ongoing pregnancy rate per couple



# Timing

#### Optimal time-interval between hCG and IUI

12, 24, 48 hours?

#### Or...

double insemination?



# 32-34 hours versus 38-40 hours

	short		long		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Claman 2004	20	96	14	93	100.0%	1.48 [0.70, 3.15]	
Total (95% CI)		96		93	100.0%	1.48 [0.70, 3.15]	+
Total events	20		14				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.03 (P = 0.30)						I	avours experimental Favours control



Cantineau et al Cochrane database submitted

# Single versus double IUI

	Double IUI		Single IUI		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Casadei 2006	9	39	5	43	4.4%	2.28 [0.69, 7.52]		
Liu 2006	124	624	70	633	66.5%	1.99 [1.45, 2.74]	│ -∎-	
Ng 2003	11	30	11	30	8.3%	1.00 [0.35, 2.86]		
Ragni 1999a	28	92	6	45	6.7%	2.84 [1.08, 7.48]		
Ragni 1999b	10	91	7	45	10.0%	0.67 [0.24, 1.90]		
Zeyneloglu 2002	4	40	4	42	4.2%	1.06 [0.25, 4.54]		
Total (95% CI)		916		838	100.0%	1.81 [1.39, 2.36]	•	
Total events	186		103					
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	6.60, df=	5 (P =						
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.39 (	increased by single increased by double						

Cantineau et al Single versus double IUI Cochrane database updated



### Conclusions

- No difference in pregnancy rates between timing with hCG and LH surge detection
- Significant more pregnancies when GnRH antagonist is added to ovarian stimulation
- Optimal time-interval after hCG is not defined (yet)
- Double insemination for male subfertility seems more effective than single IUI



# Questions?



