## DOES VARICOCELECTOMY RESULT IN MORE SPONTANEOUS PREGNANCIES?

#### GERT DOHLE, MD, Ph.D ERASMUS MC ROTTERDAM





#### Some facts about varicoceles

- 11% of men with normal sperm count have a varicocele, 25% of subfertile men have a varicocele (WHO 1992)
- Most men with a varicocele have no problems achieving spontaneous pregnancy
- Profylactic treatment is only advised in case of documented growth deterioration of the testis or in case of impaired semen quality
- After treatment 85% of these men will show an improvement of their semen quality.
- Spontaneous pregnancies after varicocele treatment is observed in 25-35% of the couples within one year
- Treatment usually has little influence on hormonal parameters.



From: te Velde E. et. al., Lancet 2000;355:1928-29

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Treatment of male infertility Dohle GR et. al. EAU guidelines on male infertility 2005

- Infertility treatment should not start before 2 years of unprotected intercourse, unless there are gross abnormalities found that exclude spontaneous pregnancy, such as azoospermia or extreme oligozoospermia, anovulation and tubal blockage.
- In case of female age >35 years treatment should start after 1 year.









# Treatment results in retrospective studies

- Dubin and Amelar (1977): 986 operations, 53% pregnancies, follow-up of 5 years, 30% within one year
- Aafjes and v.d. Vijver (1985): 38% pregnancies in oligospermic men after 2 years, but no benefit in normospermic men compared to controls (32% versus 20% inj controls)
- Other non-randomised studies also did not show any benefit in varicocele treatment in normospermic men over non-treatment (Nilsson, Baker, Rodriguez, Cokett, Breznik).

## Treatment Results prospective studies

Nieschlag et al., (1998):

- Randomisation in treatment versus counselling, 120 couples.
- Sperm concentration improved in the treatment group from 16 -> 24 million/ml.
- In the treatment group pregnancies occurred in 29% versus 25% in the counselling group (non-significant).
- WHO-study (Hargreave et al, 1996):
  - Randomisation in immediate treatment or delayed treatment after 1 year (controls), 260 couples.
  - Sperm concentration improved from 16 -> 25 Million/ml in the immediate treatment group.
  - Pregnancies: 34,8% in the immediate treated group versus 16.7% pregnancies in the control group (significant).

#### Subclinical varicocele – Yamamoto et al J Urol 1996 155:1636-38

- 85 patients with a subclinical varicocele randomized for treatment or no treatment
- Sperm improvement was found only in the treated group: concentration improved from 15 million/ml to 21 million/ml
- No difference in pregnancy rates between the treatment group (6,7%) and the controls (10%)
- Conclusion: Treatment of a subclinical varicocele has no benefit for the couple.
- Similar results reported from 2 other studies (Grasso et.al. en Unal et al.)

#### RCT from the WHO-study Madgar I. et.al. Fertil.Steril 1995 63:120-124

- 45 subfertile men with palpable varicocele were randomized for immediate treatment (High ligation) of treatment after one year.
- In the treatment group (N=25) 15 spontaneous pregnancies (60%) occurred within one year.
- In the control group (N=20) 2 spontaneous pregnancies (10%) occurred within one year. Treatment after one year resulted in another 8 spontaneous pregnancies (44%).

The German RCT on varicocele treatment by embolisation (Krause et. Al., Andrologia 2001)

- RCT comparing embolisation of a varicocele to no treatment in men with oligospermia with a follow-up of 1 year
- Poor recruitment: from a calculated number of case needed of 460 men only 67 patients were randomized for treatment (embolisation) or no treatment in a period of 5 years.
- Loss to follow-up was present in more than half of the randomized men (no conception was assumed in these couples)
- No difference in pregnancies between the two groups: 15,7% versus 20,8%.

Review: Surgery or embolisation for varicocele in subfertile men Comparison: 01 Varicocele occlusion versus no treatment Outcome: 01 Pregnancy rate

Study	Treatment n/N	Control n/N	Peto Odds Ratio 95% Cl	Weight (۴۵)	Peto Odds Ratio 95% Cl	
Breznik 1993	18/43	17/36	<b>_</b>	22.4	0.81 [0.33, 1.96]	
Grasso 2000	1/34	2/34	• •	- 3.3	0.50 [0.05, 5.01]	
Krause 2002	5/33	6/34		10.7	0.84 [ 0.23, 3.02 ]	
Madgar 1995	15/25	2/20		<b>■ *</b> 12.2	8.00 [2.41, 26.55]	
Nieschlag 1995/1998	18/62	16/63		28.6	1.20 [ 0.55, 2.63 ]	
Nilsson 1979	4/51	8/45		12.1	0.41 [0.12, 1.36]	
Unal 2001	2/21	1/21		<b></b> 3.3	2.02 [ 0.20, 20.52 ]	
Yamamoto 1996	3/45	4/40		7.4	0.65 [ 0.14, 3.02 ]	
Total (95% CI) Total events: 66 (Treatmer Test for heterogeneity chi- Test for overall effect z=0	-square=14.99 df=7	293 p=0.04 l° =53.3%	-	100.0	1.10 [0.73, 1.68]	
			0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 8	5 10		
			Favours Treatment Favours	Control		

#### Evers JL and Collins JA, Cochrane analysis 2004

#### Re-analysis of the Cochrane meta-analysis Ficara V. et. al. Eur Urol 2006 49:258-263

- Studies with either normal sperm analysis and subclinical varicoceles were excluded.
- Only 3/8 studies from the Cochrane analysis remain
- 3 studies analyzed are Nieschlag, Krause and Madgar:
  - 120 men treated and 117 controls
  - Pregnancies in 36% of the treated group versus 20% of the controls
  - A meta-analysis of poor quality studies cannot result in a recommendation against of in favor of varicocelectomy.

#### From Marmar J et al. Fert. Steril. 2007 88: 639-648

Study	Varicocelectomy n/N	Control n/N	OR (ra 95%		OR (random) 95% Cl
Grasso et al 2000	1/34	2/34			0.48 [0.04, 5.61]
Madgar et al 1995	16 / 25	2/20			- 13.50 [2.55, 71.40]
Marmar et al 1994	66 / 186	3/19			2.93 [0.82, 10.44]
Okuyama et al 1988	43 / 141	15 / 83			1.99 [1.02, 3.86]
Onozawa et al 2002	6 / 10	5 / 18	-		3.90 [0.76, 19.95]
Total (95% Cl)	396	174		•	2.87 [1.33, 6.20]
Total events: 131 (Varicoce Test for heterogeneity: Chi Test for overall effect Z = 2	<sup>2</sup> = 8.47, df = 4 (P= 0.17), r = 38.1%		i.		
		0.0	1 0.1	1 10	100
		Favo	ors control	Favors surge	erv

Excluded are men with normal sperm counts, subclinical varicocele and embolisation as treatment. ( i. e. the studies of Nieschlag and Breznik)

Included are some observational studies

Does varicocele repair result in more spontaneous pregnancies? A prospective randomized trial. Study design

- Inclusion/exclusion
  - Infertility duration of at least 1 year
  - Oligospermia: <20 million sperms/ml., but not azoospermia</li>
  - No other abnormality than a clinical varicocele (grade 1-3)
  - Female partner younger than 36 years of age and no obvious fertility problems
- Randomisation
  - Immediate treatment (surgical varicocelectomy or embolisation)
  - or
  - delayed treatment (controls) after 1 year (ART or varicocelectomy)

## STUDY DESIGN



TREATMENT SURGERY OR EMBOLISATION FOLLOW UP 1 JAAR NO TREATMENT FOLLOW-UP 1 JAAR ART OR VARICOCELE REPAIR

### CLINICAL EVALUATION

- Semen analysis twice (WHO, 1999), interval of one month
- Endocrine screening (FSH, Inhibin-B)
- Scrotal ultrasound, criteria for varicocele:
  - diameter >3mm after valsalva maneuver
  - reflux > 2 sec. into the venous plexus
- Informed consent (take home video, explain the study and randomization)
- Follow-up of at least 1 year with semen analysis at 3 and 6 months, scrotal ultrasound and endocrine evaluation at 3 months



## Final analysis

- Treatment group N=65
- Loss to FU =5
- Age partner 29,6 years
- Infert. duration 2,5 years
- Semen analysis
  - concentration 9,7->18,5
  - motility 19% -> 24%
- Spontaneous pregnancies 19/60 (31%) within 1 year
- After 2 years FU: (37%) spontaneous pergnancies

- Controls N =65
- Loss to FU = 7
- Age partner 29,3 years
- Infert. duration 2,3 years
- Semen analysis
  - concentration 8,5 -> 11,0
  - Motility 22% -> 23%
- Spontaneous pregnancies 6/58
  (10%) within 1 year
- After 2 years FU: (14%) spontaneous pregnancies



## TREATMENT

- Operation/Embolisation
  - High-inguinal (Palomo): 43 x, 4 reccurrences (9%)
  - Embolisation 7 x, 3 reccurrences (42%)
  - Microsurgery (Goldstein procedure) 7 x, no recurrences

Table 1. Recurrence and complication rates of different treatment methods for variocele					
Treatment	<b>Recurrence Rates</b>	Complications			
Antegrade Sclerotherapy	9%	Epididymitis - Testicular atrophy - Flank erytema			
Retrograde Embolisation	10-15%	Trombophlebitis – Bleeding/heamatoma – Vene perforation			
		Dislocation of the coil – Contrast allergy			
Open Operation	13-30%	Hydrocele – Testicular atrophy – Haematoma			
Laparoscopy	7-15%	Intestinal damage – Peritonitis – Pulmonary embolism			
Microsurgery	1-4%	Testicular atrophy – Hydrocele – Scrotal heamatoma			

#### Surgical treatment after 1 year

- 23 men from the no treatment group had a varicocele repair after their follow-up period of 1 year.
- This resulted in 7/23 (30,4%) spontaneous pregnancies.

## Artificial Reproductive Techniques (After 1 year)

52 couples treated (IUI, IVF, ICSI)

20 ongoing pregnancies (38,4%)

#### Summary of the studies

- Treatment is recommended if:
  - The duration of infertility is about 2 years or longer
  - There is a significant oligozoospermia: <20 milj. spermatozoa/ml.
  - The partner is younger than 36 years of age and without obvious fertility problems
- Treatment was not shown beneficial in men with normospermia and in case of a subclinical varicocele.