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Definition

• Presence of endometrial-like tissue outside the uterine cavity
• Hormonal responsiveness similar to functional endometrium
• Pathophysiology, nature and progression are poorly understood
• Delayed diagnosis: 7 years (Nnoaham et al, 2011)
• Different types
  - Ovarian
  - Superficial
  - Deep
  - Adenomyosis

• Common symptoms
• Subfertility/infertility
• Pain syndrome
• Common disease among women in reproductive age: 10-15% (Nothnick and D’Hooghe, 2003)

• High prevalence in infertile women: 48% (Strathy et al, 1982)

• Low “Monthly fecundity rate”: 2-10% (Hughes et al, 1993)

• High recurrence rate: 10-15% for 1 year, up to 40-50% after 4-5 years follow-up (Guo, 2009)
Pathophysiology

- Chronic inflammation
- Angiogenesis
- Adhesion formation
- Perturbed endometrium
- Thickening and dysperistalsis of junctional zone
- Pg resistance
Inflammation

- Dysfunction of immune-related cells and macrophages within the peritoneum (Yagmur et al, 2013; Bulun, 2009)
- Activation of peripheral blood monocytes
- Aberant expression of proinflammatory cytokines
- Elevated levels of IL-1β, IL-6, TNFα
Junctional zone

- Strong relationship between pelvic Endo and thickening of the posterior JZ myometrium (Kunz et al, 2005)
- Size increases with age, JZ is thick even in young women with adenomyosis

Junctional zone

• Share the same progenitor cells as the endometrial stroma (Borsens et al, 1072)

• Thickening in Endo may result as perturbation in the differentiation potential of the basal endometrial layer (Craven, 1998)

• Inflammatory cues associated with Endo may impact basal endometrium and JZ
Angiogenesis

- Increased endometrial angiogenesis
- Significantly higher endometrial perfusion rates during the secretory phase of the cycle (Xavier et al, 2005)
- Production of VEGF, IL-1,-6,-8 and EGF is enhanced in both eutopic and ectopic endometrium in women with endometriosis (Taylor et al, 2002)
Pg resistance

- Reverse decidual phenotype
- Induce gene expression for
  - Chemokines
  - Proinflammatory cytokines
  - Matrix metalloproteinases
  - Apoptotic factors

Influx of inflammatory cells, proteolytic breakdown of the extracellular matrix, cell death, bleeding
Findings in endometrium

- Lower peak of endometrial thickness: “proliferative phase defect” (Bromer, 2009)
- Delayed maturation
- Altered glycosylation (Miller et al, 2010)
Findings in endometrium

• Molecular abnormalities: local steroid biosynthesis, cell growth, apoptosis, immune cell function, angiogenesis, cell adhesion, cytokine production (Carvalho et al, 2011; Sharpe-Timms et al, 2010)

• Perturbed endometrial gene expression (Giudice, 2004)
  - embryo implantation
  - controlled interstitial and endovascular trophoblast invasion
  - establishment of functional placenta
Feature of endometriosis patient

- Subfertile
- After one or multiple surgeries
- ART
Feature of endometriosis patient

- Advanced maternal age
- Primiparous
- Distorted pelvic anatomy
- Other pelvic organs affected
- CPID
Endometriosis and pregnancy

• Decidualization preventing progression
  - Not confirmed for DIE

*BUT:*
Decidualization causes invasion of the ectopic endometrium

Endometriosis has impact on controlled interstitial and endovascular trophoblast invasion

Reports for bleeding and progression of the endometriotic lesions
### Complications

**Women with untreated DIE**

#### TABLE 2

Comparison of pregnancy and delivery complications in women with endometriosis and control group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Posterior DIE n (%)</th>
<th>Control group n (%)</th>
<th>P value(^a)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature delivery &lt;37 wk</td>
<td>13 (31.7)</td>
<td>19 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>6.867 (3.069–15.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premature delivery &lt;32 wk</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
<td>6 (2.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>2.513 (0.49–12.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placenta previa</td>
<td>7 (17.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>61.56 (7.351–515.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental abruption</td>
<td>2 (4.8)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>15.33 (1.359–173)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational hypertension</td>
<td>6 (14.6)</td>
<td>12 (4.0)</td>
<td>1.342</td>
<td>4.114 (1.453–11.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes</td>
<td>3 (7.3)</td>
<td>8 (2.7)</td>
<td>0.2985</td>
<td>2.882 (0.7328–11.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-for-gestational-age fetuses</td>
<td>4 (9.8)</td>
<td>17 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8 (0.5745–5.637)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>12 (29.3)</td>
<td>151 (50.3)</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.4083 (0.200–0.830)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal operative</td>
<td>1 (2.4)</td>
<td>19 (6.4)</td>
<td>0.4681</td>
<td>0.3697 (0.04817–2.838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>28 (68.3)</td>
<td>130 (43.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.041</td>
<td>2.817 (1.404–5.651)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During cesarean delivery (n)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysterectomy</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>24.62 (1.149–527.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoperitoneum</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>24.62 (1.149–527.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel resection</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.1772</td>
<td>14.24 (0.5649–358.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder injury</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>24.62 (1.149–527.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During vaginal delivery (n)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend vaginal laceration</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.1374</td>
<td>14.08 (0.8286–239.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine atonia</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>0.1374</td>
<td>14.08 (0.8286–239.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical lesion</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0.0710</td>
<td>40.92 (1.584–1057)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: P < .05 was considered statistically significant. CI = confidence interval; DIE = deep infiltrating endometriosis; OR = odds ratio.

\(^a\) Posterior DIE vs. control group.

Obstetrical bleeding

• Antepartal haemorrhage incl. placental disorders are up to 80% higher (Stephansson et al, 2009)

- Placenta praevia: 1.7-fold increase incidence (Healy et al, 2010)

- Almost 10 times higher in women with DIE (Exacoustos et al, 2016)

- Placental abruption: higher incidence in women with DIE (Exacoustos et al, 2016)

• Postpartal bleeding: 1.3-fold risk (Healy et al, 2009)
Obstetrical bleeding

Possible explanation:

Anomalous blastocyst implantation due to:

1. Altered JZ

The uterine JZ becomes the site of the placental bed in pregnancy (Pijnenborg et al, 2011)

2. Uterine dysperistalsis

3. Fixed abnormal uterine position

4. Local inflammation

5. Adenomyosis may act as confounder
Preterm birth

- Doubling the incidence of preterm birth comparing to control group in women with ovarian endometrioma (Fernando et al, 2009)

- Inflammation: local and systematic
  - Activation of PGE2, COX-2, IL-8
  - Myometrial contractility

- Endometriosis: increased levels of PGs and cytokines in peritoneal fluid
Preterm birth

• Adenomyosis *(Juang et al, 2007)*
  - 1.84-fold risk of preterm birth
  - 1.98-fold risk of preterm premature rupture of membranes

• Possible cause: chorioamniotic or systematic inflammation

• Increased uterine pressure *(Ferenczy, 1998)*
Small for gestational age baby

• Endometriosis is associated with two times higher rate of SGA newborns \cite{conti2015,fernando2009} 

- Impaired placentation due to abnormal endometrium \cite{fernando2009}

• Larger studies show no difference between endometriosis and control group in rate of SGA babies \cite{exacoustos2016,stephansson2009}
Preeclampsia

- Preeclampsia is a model of angiogenic disorder, resulting in endothelial-cell dysfunction, vessel malformation or regression and impaired re-vascularization (Carmeliet, 2005)

- Defective spiral arteries remodeling in JZ (Brosens et al, 2011)
Preeclampsia

• There is no link between endometriosis and risk of preeclampsia (*Mekaru et al, 2013; Brosens et al, 2007*)

• No differences according to stage of endometriosis (*Hadfield et al, 2009*)

---

**Table 2: Incidence of pre-eclampsia according to the place of delivery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place of delivery</th>
<th>Case group (%)</th>
<th>Control group (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ghent, Belgium(^a)</td>
<td>0/90 (0.0)</td>
<td>3/62 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium(^b)</td>
<td>1/100 (1)</td>
<td>4/61 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>1/47 (2.2)</td>
<td>9/145 (6.3)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Deliveries at the University Hospital of Ghent.
\(^b\) In centres elsewhere in Belgium.

*Brosens. Endometriosis is associated with a decreased risk of preeclampsia, Hum Reprod 2007*
Preeclampsia

• Level of angiogenesis at the feto-maternal interface in early pregnancy is important predictor for obstetric outcome (Makikallio et al, 2004)

• Endometriosis features
  - Higher endometrial perfusion rates
  - Excessive angiogenesis
  - High levels of angiogenic factors
Endometriosis is a significant risk for preeclampsia and PIH (Stephansson et al, 2009, Exacoustos et al, 2016)

The high risk arises from relation between endometriosis and dysregulation of the JZ (Leyendecker et al, 2004)

defective remodeling of the junctional zone myometrial spiral arteries in placental bed
C-section

- Women with endometriosis deliver 1.5-2 times more frequently with C-section than control group (Exacoustos et al, 2016, Stephansson et al, 2009)

- Specific complications: higher risk of hemoperitoneum due to bleeding of endometriotic bowel lesions, bladder injuries and hysterectomy
Spontaneous hemoperitoneum

• Rare but life-threatening complication
• Second half of pregnancy
• Early postpartum period
• Major symptoms:
  - Acute or subacute abdominal pain
  - Hypovolemic shock
  - Fetal distress
• Maternal mortality decreased but fetal mortality remain constantly high as 36% (Brosens et al, 2009)
Spontaneous hemoperitoneum

- US failed to diagnose intraperitoneal bleeding
- Diagnose set on laparotomy for maternal (shock, anemia), fetal (distress) or combined reasons
- 80% of cases – venous bleeding
- Bleeding sites – posterior uterine wall or parametrium
- Biopsy show decidualized endometriotic lesions
Peritonitis

• Rare, 12 case reports (Setubal et al, 2014)

• Second half of pregnancy or early postpartal period

• Presented as acute abdomen

• No indication that could predict the condition

• Possible causes:
  - Bowel wall perforation from decidualized growing endometrial lesion
  - Tissue trauma because of uterine growing caused of pelvic adhesion
Peritonitis

- Pregnancy complicates diagnosis during laparotomy-sometimes needed repeated laparotomy
- Pathology reported deposits of endometriosis on the bowel
- Can affect small intestine, caecum appendix, rectosigmoid colon
- 1 of 4 cases had previous history of endometriosis

Prompt diagnosis
Miscarriage

• Endometriosis cause high incidence of miscarriage *(Naples et al, 1981; Wheeler, 1983)*

- Uncontrolled studies

• 7.4% - No Endo vs. 5.7% - Endo, no relationship between stage and miscarriage rate *(Matorras et al, 1998l Balash et al, 1988)*

• No difference in women with minimal to mild Endo compared to controls *(Marcoux et al, 1997; Gruppo Italiano, 1999)*
Increased rate of spontaneous miscarriages in endometriosis-affected women

Table II Rates of previous miscarriages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Endometriosis</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Rate % (95% CI)</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>187/964</td>
<td>19.4 (16.1–22.7)</td>
<td>139/478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertile women</td>
<td>72/583</td>
<td>12.3 (8.9–15.8)</td>
<td>67/341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infertile women</td>
<td>115/381</td>
<td>30.2 (24.0–36.4)</td>
<td>72/137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ART treatment for infertility</td>
<td>41/123</td>
<td>33.3 (23.1–43.5)</td>
<td>50/86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates of previous miscarriages according to the surgical classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>SUP</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>OMA</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>DIE</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>187/964</td>
<td>33/87</td>
<td>19.4 (16.1–22.7)</td>
<td>37.9 (25.4–50.5)</td>
<td>28/104</td>
<td>26.9 (16.3–37.6)</td>
<td>78/287</td>
<td>27.2 (20.4–34.0)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rates of previous miscarriages according to rAFS stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Stages I–II</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>Stages III–IV</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Rate (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>187/964</td>
<td>62/215</td>
<td>19.4 (16.1–22.7)</td>
<td>28.8 (21.9–35.8)</td>
<td>77/263</td>
<td>29.3 (21.7–36.9)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Our data

- IVF: 85 women with ovarian endometrioma
  - 50 pregnancies after LS- cystectomy
  - 35 pregnancies with presence of OMA
- Control group: 76 pregnant women with tubal factor after IVF-ET
Role of ART

• ART does not increase preterm births in presence of endometriosis (Stephansson et al, 2009)

• No difference among patients with endometriosis for placental disorders, preeclampsia, C-section and obstetric hemorrhage (Exacoustos et al, 2016)

• Twin pregnancies
Adenomyosis

• Smooth muscle hyperplasia and disorganization in the inner myometrium (JZ)
• Failure of trophoblast-mediated remodeling of JZ spiral arteries
• Inadequate uterine contractility

Spectrum of Ob-syndroms: from preterm birth to SGA babies and preeclampsia
Endometriosis and pregnancy

- Incidence of ovarian endometriosis and pregnancy is quadrupled over the last 12 years (Ueda et al, 2010)
- Size of the lesion increased during pregnancy in 20% of cases
  - Decidualization
  - Hemorrhage of the ectopic endometrium
- Risk factor for rupture or abscess formation
Surgical treatment

• No effect on decreasing of miscarriage incidence after LS surgical treatment (Marcoux et al, 1997; Jacobson et al, 2010)

• Reduction of miscarriage rate from 63% to 0% (Metzger et al, 1986; Wheeler et al, 1983; Pittaway et al, 1988)

• Possible positive role of endometrial lesions resection (Omland et al, 2005; Centini et al, 2016)

• Decision should be *individualized*
Conclusions

- Need for prompt diagnosis – preconception
- Proper treatment of the disease
- Counseling the patient
- Awareness of potential complications
- Future research trend – etiologic treatment
THANK YOU