

Risk of adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy complications

Robbert van Oppenraaij, MD

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Division of Obstetrics and Prenatal Medicine Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

SIGEP Rotterdam 2009

FIRST TRIMESTER COMPLICATIONS

- First trimester events and complications are common
- Distressing for the patient
- Fear for outcome → miscarriage?
- Clinician:
 - Confirm fetal viability
 - To reassure and to support the couple



FIRST TRIMESTER COMPLICATIONS

Are these women, who had a first trimester complication, at risk of obstetric and perinatal complications in the subsequent or ongoing pregnancy?



LITERATURE REVIEW

1st trimester events

Miscarriage

Recurrent miscarriage

Termination of pregnancy

1st trimester complications

Threatened miscarriage Intrauterine hematoma CRL- discrepancy Vanishing twin Hyperemesis gravidarum

Placental related disorders

Preeclampsia

Placental abruption

SGA p<10th and p<5th

Obstetric outcome

PPROM

Preterm delivery <37 weeks Preterm delivery <34 weeks

Perinatal Outcome

Congenital malformation 5 min Apgar Score < 7 Fetal and neonatal death

Erasmus MC

LITERATURE REVIEW

- Pubmed 1980-2009
- Using combinations of MeSH terms for each specific association
- Using 'umbrella' approach (pregnancy outcome, etiology, risk factors)
- Reference lists were searched by hand
- Excluded: non-English, without a control group or with an inappropriate control group, poorly defined obstetric and perinatal outcome
- Number of appropriate studies found: 57
- Mostly retrospective population-based, cohort and case-control studies

Erasmus MC

LITERATURE REVIEW

Predicting adverse obstetric outcome after early pregnancy events and complications: a review

Human Reproduction Update, Vol.15, No.4 pp. 409–421, 2009 R.H.F. van Oppenraaij¹, E. Jauniaux², O.B. Christiansen³, J.A. Horcajadas⁴, R.G. Farquharson⁵ and N. Exalto^{1,6}, on behalf of the ESHRE Special Interest Group for Early Pregnancy (SIGEP)

- Odds Ratio (OR) and Standard Error (SE) were used for analysis
- Meta-analyse: Random effects model
- MIX 1.7^{1,2}



Erasmus M(

1 Bax et al., 2006; 2 Bax et al., 2008

PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE(S)

Risk of adverse obstetric outcome in the subsequent pregnancy

- At least controlled for: parity and age
- Single miscarriage
- Two or more miscarriages
- Three or more miscarriages

- 20 studies
- 14 studies
- 5 studies



SINGLE PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE & PREECLAMPSIA



 \triangleright

PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE(S) & PLACENTAL DISORDERS

_ _ _ _ _ _ _



PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE(S) & OBSTETRIC OUTCOME



PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE(S) & PERINATAL OUTCOME



MISCARRIAGE(S) & ADVERSE OUTCOME: ETIOLOGY

Short interpregnancy interval

- Inadequate time to recover results in depletion of maternal nutrients¹
- Previous term delivery → increased risk of preterm delivery²
- Stratification of interpregnancy interval after miscarriage: no association³
- Treatment modality
 - MIST-trial: no difference in infection and live birth rate^{4,5}
 - No good studies on treatment modality and specific adverse obstetric outcome

I Winkvist, 1992; 2 Conde-Agudelo, 2006; 3 Buchmayer, 2004; 4 Smith, 2009; 5 Trinder, 2006

MISCARRIAGE(S) & ADVERSE OUTCOME: ETIOLOGY

- Shared risk factors for recurrent miscarriage and obstetric complications
 - Thrombophilia disorders
 - Maternal immunological or hormonal abnormalities
 - Chromosomal abnormalities
 - Infection
 - Incompetent cervix
 - Uterine abnormalities
 - Only <u>one study</u> made a differentiation in underlying causes¹
 - Too small to permit correct analysis

Erasmus MC

1 Jivraj, 2001

PREVIOUS TERMINATION(S) OF PREGNANCY

Risk of adverse obstetric outcome in the subsequent pregnancy

At least controlled for: parity, age, ethnicity, socio-economics, BMI and smoking

- Single TOP
- Two or more TOP

- 18 studies
- 17 studies

Erasmus MC

PREVIOUS TOP & PLACENTAL DISORDERS

.

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _



PREVIOUS TOP & OBSTETRIC OUTCOME

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _



PREVIOUS TOP & PERINATAL OUTCOME

.

.



TOP & ADVERSE OUTCOME: ETIOLOGY

- Short or long interpregnancy interval
 - Stratification of interpregnancy interval after TOP¹: no association
- Treatment modality
 - No good studies
- Timing of TOP
 - No good studies
- Complicated TOP
 - cervical damage, infection, tissue retention, adhesions

Erasmus MC Cafus

1 Zhou, 2003;

THREATENED MISCARRIAGE

- Incidence 14-20%^{1,2}
- ~50% miscarriage¹⁻³ \rightarrow confirmation of viability \rightarrow 2-14% miscarriage³⁻⁵

Risk of adverse obstetric outcome in the **ongoing** pregnancy

- At least controlled for age and parity in studies of blood loss
- (Light) blood loss
- Heavy blood loss
- Intrauterine hematoma

- 9 studies
- 3 studies
- 4 studies

1 Everett 1997; 2 Weiss et al., 2004; 3 Wijesiriwardana et al., 2006; 4 Johns et al., 2006; 5 Schauberger et al., 2005



THREATENED MISCARRIAGE & PLACENTAL DISORDERS



THREATENED MISCARRIAGE & OBSTETRIC OUTCOME



THREATENED MISCARRIAGE & PERINATAL OUTCOME

_ _ _ _ _ _ _



THREATENED MISCARRIAGE & ADVERSE OUTCOME: ETIOLOGY

- Could be the result of an impaired placentation
 - At risk for adverse obstetric outcome
 - Congenital malformation
- <u>Could cause disruption of the chorionic-amniotic plane^{1,2,3}</u>
 - Rupture of membrane
 - Chronic inflammatory reaction → stimulate contractions
 - Nidus for infection
- Could lead to placental insufficiency secondary to scarring⁴
 - SGA, preeclampsia and placental abruption



1 Johns and Jauniaux 2006; 2 Weiss, 2003; 3 Wijesiriwardana, 2006; 4 Williams, 1991

CRL DISCREPANCY

- If measured Crown-Rump Length (CRL) is smaller than expected (2-6 days)
- Associated with higher risk of miscarriage¹
- Associated with aneuploidy²⁻⁶

1 Reljic 2001; 2 Kuhn et al., 1995; 3 Schermer et al., 1997; 4 Bahado-Sing et al., 1997; 5 Falcon et al., 2005; 6 Goldstein et al., 1996;



CRL DISCREPANCY & OUTCOME

	CRL	
Obstetric outcome	discrepancy	Ν
Preeclampsia	no data	0
Placental abruption	no data	0
PPROM	no data	0
Preterm delivery <37 weeks	1.0 (0.7-1.5)	1
Very preterm delivery <34 weeks	2.0 (1.1-4.0)	1
Perinatal outcome		
Intrauterine growth restriction <5 th	2.8 (1.9-4.3)	1
Small for gestational age <10 th	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	1
Congenital malformation	no data	0
Low 5-minute Apgar score	no data	0
Intrauterine fetal death	no data	0
Perinatal death	0.8 (0.2-3.3)	1

Data are reported as Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Interval

CRL discrepancy: Dating issue or very early growth restriction?



VANISHING TWIN PHENOMENON

Spontaneous reduction of a multiple pregnancy

Incidence 10-30%¹⁻³

IVF-population

1 Dickey et al., 2002; 2 Landy and Keith 1998; 3 Pinborg et al., 2005

Picture: The Gloaming

VANISHING TWIN PHENOMENON

Survivors of vanishing twin IVF pregnancies, which were spontaneously reduced from twin to singleton pregnancies, were compared with singleton IVF pregnancies

Vanishing twin

- 7 studies
- Only one study controlled for: age, ICSI vs. IVF and parity¹

Erasmus MC

1 Pinborg et al., 2005

VANISHING TWIN & PLACENTAL DISORDERS

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

.



VANISHING TWIN & OBSTETRIC OUTCOME



VANISHING TWIN & PERINATAL OUTCOME

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

.



VANISHING TWIN & ADVERSE OUTCOME: ETIOLOGY

- Implantation crowding
 - Could result in unfavorable implantation site¹
- Presence of products segregated after the vanishing twin²
 - Could result in chronic inflammatory reaction
- Vanishing twin could result in blood loss
 - Independent risk factor



1 Depp, 1996; 2 Pinborg, 2007;

HYPEREMESIS GRAVIDARUM

- Incidence 0.3-1.5%
- Exact etiology unknown; therefore treatment remains symptomatic
- Decreased risk of miscarriage OR 0.3, (95% CI 0.2-0.3)¹

Hyperemesis gravidarum

- Two studies controlled for confounders²

- 5 studies



1 Maconochie et al., 2007; 2 Dodds et al., 2006

HYPEREMESIS GRAVIDARUM & OBSTETRIC OUTCOME



HYPEREMESIS GRAVIDARUM & PERINATAL OUTCOME

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

 _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _



CONCLUSIONS

- Early pregnancy complications are independent risk factors for adverse obstetric outcome in the subsequent or ongoing pregnancy.
- The found increased risks are related to the recurrence and/ or severity of the 1st trimester complication

Erasmus MC

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

- Clinicians have to be vigilant
- Could lead to better risk evaluation to identify women at risk
- Questionable whether this knowledge could prevent obstetric complications to occur
- But possibly, by intensification of care the anticipated detrimental effects can be avoided or reduced

Erasmus MC

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam Dr. N. Exalto Prof. Dr. G. Bonsel Prof. Dr. E.A.P. Steegers

Liverpool Women's Health Hospital, Liverpool R. Farquharson

Rigshospitalet University Hospital, Copenhagen O.B. Christiansen

Instituto Valenciano de Infertilidad Foundation, Valencia Dr. J. Horcajadas

Institute for Women's Health, Royal Free and University College Medical School, London Prof. Dr. E.R. Jauniaux

zalus

PREVIOUS MISCARRIAGE(S) & TOP

Table I: Early pregnancy events and complications as risk factors for adverse obstetric outcome in the subsequent pregnancy.

	Previous miscarriage				Recurrent miscarriage		Termination of pregnancy			
Obstetric cutcome	One	Ν	Two or more	Ν	Three or more	Ν	One	Ν	Two or more	Ν
PIH	1.2 (0.6-2.3)	1	2.2 (0.5-7.2)	1	no data	0	1.0 (0.6-1.8)	1	no data	0
PF	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	7	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	3	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	2	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	4	0.6 (0.2-1.8)	3
Placental Abruption	1.1 (0.8-1.7)	2	1.5 (1.1-1.7)	1	1.2 (0.4-3.1)	1	no data	0	no data	0
Placenta Previa	1.7 (0.9-3.2)	2	1.7 (1.3-2.3)	1	6.0 (1.6-22.)	1	1.0 (0.7-1.6)	1	1.4 (0.8-2.5)	1
PPROM	1.3 (1.0-1.8)	4	1.6 (1.1-2.1)	4	2.1 (1.5-2.9)	2	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	4	1.8 (1.3-2.6)	2
Preterm <37 weeks	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	13	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	11	2.4 (1.8-3.4)	5	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	12	1.6 (1.4-1.9)	10
Preterm <34 weeks	1.5 (1.3-1.8)	8	2./ (2.2-3.3)	6	3.8 (1.6-9.0)	3	1.5 (1.3-1.7)	6	2.1 (1.1-3.9)	5
Perinatal outcome										
SGA	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	5	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	3	1.3 (0.9-1.7)	2	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	5	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	5
LBW <2500g	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	5	1.5 (0.9-2.5)	3	2.0 (1.4-2.7)	2	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	6	1.5 (1.2-1.7)	5
LBW <1500g	nc data	0	no data	0	no data	0	2.7 (1.1.7.1)	1	no data	0
Cong. malformation	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	2	no data	0	1.8 (1.1-3.0)	1	1.1 (0.9-1.2)	2	1.3 (0.7-2.3)	1
5 min AS <7	1.0 (1.0-1.2)	2	1.0 (0.0-1.4)	1	0.G (0.J-1.G)	1	1.1 (0.0-1.6)	1	0.0 (0.3-2.0)	1
Fetal death	1.6 (0.9-2.8)	1	no data	0	no data	0	0.5 (0.2-1.1)	2	no data	0
Perinatal death	2.1 (1.0-3.9)	1	1.2 (0.9-1.4)	1	no data	0	1.5 (0.4-6.1)	2	0.5 (0.1-3.8)	1

Data are reported as Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI)

zalus

FIRST TRIMESTER COMPLICATION

Table II: Early pregnancy events and complications as risk factors for adverse obstetric outcome in the ongoing pregnancy.

_ _ _ _ _ _

	Threatened		Intrauterine		CRL		Vanishing		Hyperemesis	
Obstetric outcome	miscarriage	Ν	haematoma	N	discrepancy	Ν	twin	N	gravidarum	Ν
Hacmorrhage	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2	no data	0	no deta	0	0.9 (0.5-1.5)	1	no data	0
PIH	1.4 (1.1-1.8)	1	2.1 (1.5-2.9)	1	10(08-12)	1	1 2 (0 6-2 1)	1	10(09-13)	1
PE	1.2 (0.9-1.6)	2	4.0 (2.3-7.0)	1	no data	0	1.8 (0.7-4.9)	2	no data	0
Abruption	1.8 (1.1-2.9)	3	6.4 (3.4-12.2)	2	no data	0	1.9 (1.0-3.6)	1	no data	0
Previa	1.5 (0.8-2.9)	3	no data	0	no data	0	1.1 (0.5-2.4)	1	no data	0
PPROM	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	3	0.7 (0.1-3.2)	1	no data	0	no dala	0	no data	0
Preterm <37 weeks	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	8	2.4 (1.7-3.3)	4	1.0 (0.7-1.5)	1	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	6	1.1 (1.0-1.4)	4
Preterm <34 weeks	2.5 (1.6-3.9)	4	no data	0	2.0 (1.1-4.0)	1	2.3 (1.5-3.6)	5	no data	0
Perinatal outcome										
IUGR	no data	0	no data	0	2.8 (1.9-4.3)	1	no data	0	no data	0
SGA	1.4 (1.0-1.9)	2	2.1 (1.4-3.3)	3	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	1	1.7 (1.0-2.9)	5	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	4
LDW <2500g	1.6 (1.1-2.2)	5	no data	0	1.0 (1.2-2.3)	1	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	з	1.5 (1.3-1.7)	2
LBW <1500g	2.7 (1.4-5.2)	3	no data	0	no data	0	2.0 (1.3-3.2)	3	1.4 (1.0-2.0)	1
Cong. malformation	1.5 (1.1-2.0)	2	1.6 (0.5-5.1)	1	no data	0	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	3
5-Min Apgar score < 7	1.1 (1.0-1.3)	1	5.7 (2.5-12.7)	1	no data	0	no data	0	1.2 (0.8-1.7)	3
Fetal death	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	4	2.8 (0.9-8.4)	2	no data	0	no data	0	1.6 (1.0-2.5)	2
Perinatal death	2.1 (1.0-4.4)	4	2.1 (0.8-5.4)	2	0.8 (0.2-3.3)	1	3.3 (1.3-8.4)	1	1.2 (0.8-1.7)	4

Data are reported as Odds Ratio (OR) with 95% Confidence Interval (CI); no data, no available study

6