## Early prediction of genetic disorders in preimplantation embryos based on morphological observations

Hlinka D., Kosarova M., Siruckova K., Sobotka V. and Mardesic T.









- Patient history: 3 previous IVF attempts
- PGS indication: age (41 years) and implantation failure
- SPG: normospermy

• OPU: 15 oocytes (1xGV)



## • Expected findings:

- Oocyte derived meiotic errors the main source of aneuploidies affecting all embryonic cells
- Ooplasmic factors deficiency causing developmental abnormalities (arrests) and mitotic malsegregations leading to mosaic cells occurrence

































































s Syncama		4. Syntaxing
6. 7. Meiotic/AD risk Al	br. Dev. risk	
11. Meiotic/AD risk Comment: P	N assessment	D1 PN observation 7.00 am.



























60	3 <b>.</b>	5.
Aneuploidy risk	Aneuploidy risk	Aneuploid risk
ad 2. to identify the er	mbryos with high risk of aneuploidy an	d mosaicism <sup>1</sup> a
these embryos were re	ecognized as having aneuploidy risk	PN observation
		7.00 am.
		D1b
		Early cleavage
		1.00 pm.
Comment: No. 1,3	and 5 – evident multinuclations observed in	a one/both cells.



































































S	2	S	4	5
Ser.	r C	8.	Y	10.
•	12.	13.	14.	D3 Biopsy 7.00 am.
Comment:	one blastomere	e was removed in a	all embryos	-











1. 3x13 Aneuploidy risk	2. 1x21 Preferred	3 3x22 Aneuploidy risk	4. 1x21,1x22 Accepted	5. haploid High aneuploid
		<u> </u>	\	
6. ?x15.	7. 1x16, ? x15 Potentially accepted	8. OK Mosaic	Preferred	10.
11. 1x22 Accepted	12.	13.Complex .abn.	14.	<b>D4</b> 10.00 am.
Comment:	after standard	2 round FISH (13, 15, 16	6, 18, 21, 22, X and Y Vysis®) <i>(</i>	nalysis only
blastomere from en	n.No.8 did not show	any numerical ano	malies for analyzed	chromosomes



1. 3x13	2. 1x21-	3. 3x22	4. 1x21,1x22	5. haploid
Aneuploidy risk	Preferred	Aneuploidy risk	Accepted	High aneuploid risk
6. ?x15 Accepted	7. 1x16, ? x15 Potentially accepted	8. OK Mosaic	9. 1x16 Preferred	10.
11. 1x22 Accepted	12.	13.Complex .abn. Mosaic	14.	<b>D4</b> 10.00 am.
Comment: some chromosome Kreatech instead of centromer	the preferred a (s) were subjected to ic - Vysis set of dg. sonds)	nd accepted embryo 5 3rd round FISH an	s determined as mo alysis using alterno	nosomic for 11ive (eg. subtelomeric –





		Le .	(2)) 1	
6 ОК	<i>*</i>	8. OK Mosaic		10.
11. OK	12.		44.	D5
Comment:	euploid embryo	s No. 6, 8 and 11 dev	veloped to blastocyst	stages on D5











8th we	ek of pregnancy - sing	gleton
		<b>1</b> 0.00 am.







3x13		3x22		haploid
Aneuploidy risk		Aneuploidy risk		High aneuploid
in this case n genetically comp	one of morpholo etent what unde	gical or preferraction of the important	nbryos was deterr nce of PGS	nined as
developmental independent p	competency a roperties of ear	nd genetic consi Iy embryo	itution are to so	me extent
the embryos to be aneuploid	predicted "risky"	for aneuploidy and	I mosaic were cor	firmed by FISH
the 3 <sup>rd</sup> round monosomic for s	FISH reanalysis ome chromoson	rescued some em ne and otherwise v	bryos which were vould be discarde	determined as d



## Conclusion:

... an interactive embryologist/genetic approach in PGS cycles with 3<sup>rd</sup> round reanalysis can rescue some developmentally competent embryos (diagnosed as monosomic for one chromosome)

... prediction of genetic disorders by morphological observation plays an important role in this system and can also be effectively used in all IVF cycles

## Conclusion:

...a real benefit of aneuploidy screening is that the method is forcing us to deeper understanding of developmental processes and it gives the chance to improve our knowledge



