# MAKING GAMETES AND HELPING EMBRYOS

Anna Veiga1,2, Begoña Aran2, Itziar Belil1, Juan Carlos Izpisua Belmonte2, Pedro N. Barri1 1.- Servei de Medicina de la Reproducció. Institut Universitari Dexeus 2.-Banc de Linies Cel.Iulars. Centre de Medicina Regenerativa de Barcelona

Barcelona. Spain

#### Dexeus

#### CMR[B]

CMR[B]

1.Making gametes

- Embryonic stem cells (ESC).
- Derivation and differentiation
- Gamete differentiation from ESC
- Derivation of oocytes
  Derivation of sperm
- Derivation of oocytes from fetal stem cells
- BM stem cells as a source of gametes?
- 2.Helping embryos
- · Assisted hatching
- PGS













Dexeus Diff	erentiation	CMR[B]			
ADIPOCITO ASTROCITO CARDIOMIOCITO CONDROCITO HEMATOPOYETICAS DEFINITIVAS CELULAS DENDRÍTICAS CELULAS ENDOTELIALES KERATINOCITOS PRECURSORES DE LINFOCITOS	Dani et al., 1997 Fraichard et al., 1995 Doetschman et al., 1993 Maltsev et al., 1993 Kramer et al., 2000 Nakano et al., 1996 Nishikawa et al., 1990 Fairchild et al., 2000 Risau et al., 1988 Yamashita et al., 2000 Potocnik et al., 1994	MASTOCITOS Tsal et al., 2000 NEURONAS Bain et al., 1995 Strubing et al., 1995 OLI GODENDROCITOS Brustle et al., 1999 Lie et al., 2000 OSTEORLASTOS Buttery et al., 2001 ISLOTES PANCREATICOS Lumeistchman et al., 2001 HEMATOPOYETICAS Doetschman et al., 2001 MUSCULO LISO Yamashita et al., 1996 MUSCULO LISO Yamashita et al., 2004 MUSCULO LISO Yamashita et al., 1994 ENDODERMO DEL SACO Dectschman et al., VITELINO 1985			
GERM CELLS - GAMETES					

L













exeus		CMR[B
	Derivation of embryonic germ cells and male gametes from embryonic stem cells	
	Niels Geijsen <sup>1,2</sup> , Melissa Horoschak <sup>1,3</sup> , Kitai Kim <sup>1,3</sup> , Joost Gribnau <sup>1</sup> , Kevin Eggan <sup>4</sup> & George Q. Daley <sup>1,3</sup>	
	<sup>1</sup> Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, 9 Cambridge Center, Cambridge, Masachuestts 02142, USA <sup>2</sup> Centre for Regenerative Medicine and Technology, Masachuestts General Hospkal, Bosten, Massachuestts 02114, USA <sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, and Division of Politaric Hematology/Oncology, The Colidorwis Hespital and Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachuestts 02115, USA <sup>3</sup> Department of Malecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, 7 Divisity Neuron, Cambridge, Massachuestts 0218, USA	
	NATURE   VOL 427   8 JANUARY 2004   www.nature.com/nature	













CMR[B]

## Embryonic stem cells can form germ cells in vitro

Yayoi Toyooka, Naoki Tsunekawa, Ryuko Akasu, and Toshiaki Noce\*

Mitsubishi Kagaku Institute of Life Sciences, 11 Minamicoya Machida-shi, Tokyo 194-8511, Japan

PNAS | September 30, 2003 | vol. 100 | no. 20 | 11459



CMR[B] Dexeus In Vitro-Differentiated Embryonic Short Article Stem Cells Give Rise to Male Gametes that Can Generate Offspring Mice Nayernia et al, Developmental Cell 11, 125-132, July 2006































- Some of these aggregates extruded large oocyte-like cells that expressed oocyte markers, such as ZP, and meiosis markers,(SCP3).
- Some of these oocyte-like cells spontaneously developed into parthenogenetic embryo-like structures.





CMR[B]







CMR[B]

## Assisted Zona Hatching

What is the evidence?

Dexeus Embryo Ass	sisted hatching	CMR[B]
<ul> <li>Assisted hatching (AH) involves the second se</li></ul>	he artificial thinning or br	reaching of the ZP.
<ul> <li>Has been proposed as one techni pregnancy rates following in vitro for</li> </ul>	ique to improve implanta ertilization (IVF).	ation and
<ul> <li>An increased implantation rate fol (partial zona dissection-PZD) was</li> </ul>	llowing mechanical open first reported in 1990 (Co	ing of the ZP
•The assisted hatching procedure of fertilization. - drilling with acidified Tyrode's sol - PZD with a glass microneedle - Laser photoablation - Use of a piezomicromanipulator	can be performed on da	y 3 or day 5 after



![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

(Dexeus	Embryo Assisted hatching	CMR[B]
Conclusions		
<b>T</b> I		

•The available published evidence does not support the routine or universal application of assisted hatching in all IVF cycles at this time.

 Assisted hatching may be clinically useful in patients with a poor prognosis, including those with 2 failed IVF cycles and poor embryo quality and advanced age women (38 years of age)

 Higher clinical pregnancy and implantation rates have been observed after assisted hatching. However, delivery rates have not significantly improved, possibly because the small sample sizes in studies reporting delivery rates have lacked sufficient power to detect a difference.

Individual ART programs should evaluate their own patient populations to determine which subgroup may benefit from AH

Dexeus

Dexeus

CMR[B]

CMR[B]

Preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) Is it useful for advanced age patients?

Preimplantation genetic screening for abnormal number of chromosomes (aneuploidies) in in vitro fertilisation or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (Review)

Twisk M, Mastenbroek S, van Wely M, Heineman MJ, Van der Veen F, Repping S

This record should be cited as: Twick JM, Materbook S, von Weyl, M, Heiseman MJ, Vin der Ven B, Repping S, Deinplatration genetic screaning for absornal number of charmonicnen (annapholiko) in is vinn factilization or interpredamic sporm injection. *Cohare Database of Sparsnetic* Reniera 2006, June J, Hois CD095201, DOI 10.1002/14651888.CD005521 pab2.

Analysis	01.02. Company	rison 01 advanced	maternal age, Outcome 0	2 ongoing pregna	ncy rate
Review Preimpignatio	n penetic screening for	gnormal number of dirors	comes (aveuploides) in in vitro fertilis	ation or intrac-topiagric	perm injection
Comparison: OI advan	ced millional age				
Outcome: 02 ongoing	pregnancy rate				
2x4	PQS	Control	Odd: Ratio (Fired)	veget	Odds Ratio (Fired)
	n?4	nN	95 O	00	95% CI
Staessen 2004	22/199	29/190		79.8	0.69 [0.38, 1.25.]
Stevens 2004	11/21	1318		20.2	042[011,142]
Total (95%-CI)	220	208	-	100.0	0.64 [0.37, 1.09]
Total events 33 (PGE).	(Control)				
Test for betamonates					
service and a description of a	1-ogune=0.43 dti i p=	651 P #06%			
Tet for overall effect or	n-square=0.43 dhiip= 1.64 p=0.1	651 P =00%			
Text for overall effect or	1.64 p=0.1	1517-00%			
Test for overall effect or	1.64 p=0.1	651 P =00%	01 02 05 1 2 5 10		
Tet for overal effect of	1.64 pm0.1	651 P = 60%	01 62 65 1 2 5 10		
Tet for overal effect ze	List pr0.1	n 01 advanced mat	01 62 65 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer	oportion of wome	n reaching embry
Tet for overal effect or Amalysis 01.0 Review: Premp	1.4 pr0.1 1.4 pr0.1 1.6 Comparison articlor.protic screen	n 01 advanced mat	0.1 02 05 1 2 5 10 ermal age, Outcome 03 pro transfer	sportion of wome	n reaching embryo
Tet for overal effect or Analysis 01.0 Review: Preng Comparison Co	1.44 pm0.1 1.44 pm0.1 1.64 comparison artition growtic screenin astranced nuternal age	n 01 advanced mat	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer homosome (prophole() in in vto fo	sportion of wome	n reaching embry
Analysis 01.0 Reserve Prengi Comparison (0 Outcome: 63 pr	1.44 pm0.1 1.64 pm0.1 13. Comparison addos grotfs stream advanced nuternal age oportion of women ma	n 01 advanced mati g for abromal number of d deg embryo transfer	0.1 62 65 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer homosones (neightate) in in vto fe	sportion of wome	n reaching embry nic pem rječko
Analysis 01.0 Perter Press Comparison 10 Cultures 13 p State	1.64 pm0.1 1.64 p	n 01 advanced mate g for abnormal number of o deing embryo transfer Control	01 02 05 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer homosomes (prespicates) in in vitro fr	sportion of wome estisation or intracytoplas vonget	n reaching emilory na: quem rajection Oddi Ratio Pine
Analysis 01.0 Protect for ownal effect of Analysis 01.0 Protect fromp Comparison 01 Outcome 43 p Study	1.64 pm0.1 1.64 p	n 01 advanced mate g for abromal surpler of o ching entrys transfer control of 1	01 02 05 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer homosomes (megalater) in in site for Odds fatter (fried) 55 0	aportion of wome estilution or intracytoplas verages (%)	n reaching embry na; penn rjection Odda Ratio (Pare 95% C
Amalysis 01.0 Amalysis 01.0 Perior Preng Comparison 00 Outcome 03 p Study Station 2004	Isaguer=0.03 dH   p= I.64 p=0.1 I.64 p=0	n 01 advanced mate g for abnormal sunbor of o dring embryo transfer Control 121/250	01 62 65 1 2 5 0 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer homoure: (neglider) in in vito fr Odd Rate (hed) 550 0	oportion of worms eviluation or intracytoplas (0) (0) 100.0	n reaching embrys na; spem riječko. Ods Rido (Rido 95% G 6.24 (6.24, 639)
Analysis 01.1 Analysis 01.1 Relev: Preng Congarion Cr Outcom: 82 p Study Teamor 2004	1.64 pr0.1 1.64	n 01 advanced mate g for abronul surger of o dring entrys transfer Control nN4 121/190 190	01 42 45 1 2 5 0 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer tomores (resplicite) in is vito fit 035 false (frest) 155 0	aportion of wome valuation or intractigies weight 00 100.0	a reaching embrys nic gens rijector. Oddi Nato (hus 9% C) 0.9 (12, 0.09) 0.9 (12, 0.09)
Analysis 01.0 Refer overal effect or Analysis 01.0 Research resp Comparison of Custome 03.0 Study Statisme 204 Total (SSL 0) Total effects 01.0	Isaguer-0,43 dB   p= Is4 p=0.1 3. Ceenparison antation growth: screens abunced rutersul.ge oportion of women su PGS nH 81:179 179 705), 121 (Control)	n 01 advanced mat g fir doomal number of 0 deig entryo transfer rolt rolt 121/190 130	0 0 2 5 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer bionourse (preparties) in its to 005.540 (bref) 155.0	aportion of wome estaton or intractigate Ob 100.0 100.0	a reaching embrys ns: perm spector. Osto Tateo (fran 3% O 0.7 (22, 037) 0.7 (22, 037)
Ref fir overal effet 2 2 Analysis 01.1 Perior, Freeg Comparison 01 Outcome 43 p Statem 2004 Total (5% C) Total events (1) Total events (1) Total events (1)	Lot profile     Lot profi	631 P 400% <b>e 01 advanced mat</b> g for abromd writer of 0 deg embryo transfer Coelect nH 122:090 190	01 42 45 1 2 5 0 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer tomores (respliciter) in is vito in 035 future (heat) 155 0	sportion of wome viliation or intractigate dis dis 100.0	a reaching embryo receptor viector Oda Rato (her Stato are (also con) are (also con)
References and the provided of	Isaguerillo, 43 dilli par Isali profit antation genetic screenic abuscost indensatign oportion of wome ma <u>PGS</u> net distary 4015 p121 (Control) https://control.	e 01 advanced mate g for docored worker of d drig endryo transfer Control edd 121/190 130	0 0 2 5 1 2 5 10 email age, Outcome 03 pro transfer transfer (0.05.160) in its 40 M (0.05.160) (0.05.160)	opertien of wome visation or intractigita (%) 100.0 100.0	a reaching embry nic pero species. Oda futo (file 955.0 0.9 (6.3), 639 0.9 (6.3), 639
Ret for ownal effect of the constraints of the cons	164 рно] 1 164 рно] 1 16. Сеопралізон алабол дичкі; суневан алькові начана де орогіол ої чолике яка РОБ від 124 (Совто) нау ної деродіте нау ної деродіте	n 01 advanced mat g for abrowna number of o drag entryio transfer odd 121/190 130	01 02 05 1 2 5 0 email age, Outcome 03 pro gransfer 035 fibro outree (gransfer 150 0 150	sportion of worms visitation or Hrispitalise visit 00 1000	a reaching embry net perm ripcion Odd Nato (Nato 955.0 0.37 (0.31, 0.05) 0.37 (0.31, 0.05)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

Table 2. Outcomes in Women Who Underwent Pr	eimplantation Genetic Screening	and in Controls.		
Outcome	Women Who Underwent Preimplantation Genetic Screening (N=206)	Controls (N=202)	Rate Ratio (95% CI)°	P Value
Women with an ongoing pregnancy — no. (%)	52 (25)	74 (37)	0.69 (0.51-0.93)	0.01
Women with ≥1 biochemical pregnancy — no. (%)	81 (39)	106 (52)	0.75 (0.60-0.93)	0.008
Total no. of biochemical pregnancies	94	118		
Women with ≥1 clinical pregnancy — no. (%)	61 (30)	88 (44)	0.68 (0.52-0.88)	0.003
Total no. of clinical pregnancies	67	92		
Women with ≥1 miscarriage — no. (%)	37 (18)	36 (18)	1.01 (0.67-1.53)	0.97
Total no. of miscarriages	43†	441		
Women with ≥1 live birth — no. (%)	49 (24)	71 (35)	0.68 (0.50-0.92)	0.01
Total no. of live births	595	85¶		

#### CMR[B]

- Multicentre trial of preimplantation genetic screening reported in the New England Journal of Medicine: an indepth look at the findings. Cohen J, Grifo JA.
- Substandard application of preimplantation genetic screening may interfere with its clinical success.
   <u>Munné S, Gianaroli L, Tur-Kaspa I, Magli C, Sandalinas</u> <u>M, Grifo J, Cram D, Kahraman S, Verlinsky Y, Simpson</u> <u>JL</u>.
- IVF with preimplantation genetic screening, a promising new treatment with unexpectedly negative health outcomes: the Hippocratic role of Data Monitoring Committees. Ankum WM, Reitsma JB, Offringa M; Hippocratic role of Data Monitoring Committees.

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)