Training and Quality Assurance of Ultrasound in Reproductive Medicine: The Role of Simulation



Professor Nazar N Amso Cardiff University School of Medicine

Affiliations and Conflicts of Interest

- Director, Cardiff University Ultrasound Masters Programme
- President, British Society for Gynaecological Imaging
- Chair, Imaging SIG, European Society for Gynaecological Endoscopy
- Founder, Director and share holder of MedaPhor Ltd., a Cardiff University spin-out company

Modalities of Training – UK experience

- Structured on the job training from an experienced trainer or mentor
- Formal: face-to-face and short courses or workshops
- Formal: degree courses
- Self-directed learning
- Technology-based e.g. e-learning and/or simulation

Ultrasound Training in the UK

- Evidence for Effectiveness and uptake of skills
 - Non-competency based schemes
 e.g. short theoretical courses Unknown
 - Short hands-on courses limited information
 - Competency based schemes Exit qualification e.g. PgC/PgD or MSc
 - Competency based schemes OSATS? Impact of frequency of assessments and number of assessors?
 - Conventional approach apprenticeship?
 - Skills lab and integration of e-learning and simulation in learning process – Some evidence of benefit is emerging







Training Course for UK Fertility Practitioners British Fertility Society Pelvic Ultrasound Study Day 5.5 Jane 211, Conforme Tara Note, London Kenslington Programme – Wednesday 8 June 2011 British Fertility Society Pelvic Ultrasound Study Day 8-9 Jane 2011, Coptome Tara Hotel, London Kensington Programme - Thursday 9 June 2011 Time Topic 09.00 - 09.30 Registration Time Topic 09.00 - 09.15 Welcome and Chair 0930 – 0935 Velcome, Introduction and Chair 0935 – 1045 Welcome, Introduction and Chair 0945 – 1045 Image optimisation and machine e 09.15 - 10.00 Ultrasound guided p 10.00 - 10.45 Pelvic Pathology 10.45 - 11.15 Coffee 11.15 - 12.00 Normal anatomy and physiology 10.45 - 11.15 Coffee 11.15 – 12.00 Early pregnancy scanning 12.00 - 12.40 Diagnostic ultrasound 12.00 - 12.30 Follicle tracking / Endometrium 12.45 Close of morning session 12.40 - 12.45 Close of morning session **12.45 - 13.30 Lunch** 13.30 - 14.15 WORKSHOP ONE - Image optimisation 14.15 - 15.00 WORKSHOP TWO - Clinical scenarios ning s 12.40 - 13.30 Lunch 13.30 - 14.15 WORKSHOP ONE - Abdominal scanning 14.15 - 15.00 WORKSHOP TWO - Early pregnancy 15.00 - 15.45 WORKSHOP THREE - Practical scanning for Pelvic Patt 15:00 - 15:15 Coffee 15:15 - 16:00 WORKSHOP THREE - Orientation and measurements 16:00 Close 15.45 - 16.00 Close of meeting

Training Course for UK Fertility Practitioners

| E 1547082 | H3, Copthorme Tara Hotel, London | Preliminary Pr | ogramme Day 2 |
|-----------------|---|----------------|---|
| reliminary Prog | gramme Day 1 | Time | Topic |
| 18.30 - 09.30 | Registration | 09.00 - 09.15 | Welcome and Chair |
| 9.30 - 09.45 | Welcome. Introduction and Chair | 09.15 - 10.00 | Ultrasound guided procedures in fertility treatment |
| | | 10.00 - 10.45 | Pelvic Pathology |
| 9.45 - 10.30 | Image optimisation and machine controls. | 10.45 - 11.15 | Coffee |
| 0.30-11.15 | Normal anatomy and physiology | 11.15 - 12.00 | |
| 1.15 - 11.35 | Coffee | 11.15 - 12.00 | Early pregnancy scanning |
| 1.35 - 12.35 | Diagnostic Ultrasound | 12.00 - 12.30 | Follicle Tracking/Endometrium measuring |
| 2.35 - 12.45 | Close of morning session | 12.30 - 12.40 | Close of morning session |
| 2.45 - 13.30 | Lunch | 12.40 - 13.30 | Lunch |
| | We do not a low of the low | 13.30 - 14.15 | 3D Ultrasound in reproductive medicine |
| 13.30 - 16.00 | Workshop 1 – Image optimisation Workshop 2 – Orientation and measurements & coffee | 14.15 - 15.00 | HyCosy/ Hysterosonography |
| | Workshop 3 – Introduction to abdominal ultrasound | 15.00-15.15 | Coffee |
| | | 15.15-16.00 | Applications of Dopla |
| 16:00 | Close | 16.00 | Close of meeting |

| |
|------|
| |
| |
| |







What is Blended learning?

- Philosophy; "Learning is a continuous process"
- Combined approach to learning
- Integrated "Blended" use of physical and virtual resources to deliver instruction including all modalities described before

Why Blend?

- Extends the reach
 - Health service employers have fixed commitments in workplace and at diverse locations
- Optimises development cost and time
 - Combining different modes e.g. combining virtual collaborative sessions, recorded e-learning, text assignments and audio PowerPoint presentations
- `It works!
 - Stanford University: Higher completion rate
 - University of Tennessee: Faster and better learning at lower cost

Models of Blended Learning - 1

- Skill-driven: Self Directed and Face-2-Face
 - Requirement: regular feedback and support
 - How? Group-learning, teacher-led overview, demonstrate procedures online or F-2-F, online support and set long-term projects
- Attitude-driven: Mixed delivery media to introduce behavioural change
 - Requirement: Risk-free Peer-2-Peer interaction
 - How? Webinars, group projects, role-play Simulations

Models of Blended Learning - 2

- Competency-driven: Performance support tools plus knowledge, management resources and mentoring to develop workplace competencies
 - Requirement: interacts with experts on the job
 - How? Assign mentors, access to knowledge repository (LMS)

Development of the Blend

- No fixed recipes
- Design your own based on;
 - Experience
 - Observation of "Best Practice" examples
- Instructional design literature
- Constraints
 - Stability and urgency
 - Touches and cost
 - Learning resource and experience
 - Health Service drivers







Second pactor bacter bacter bacter Image: Control pactor bacter Image:

<u>Blended Ultrasound Training</u> <u>Environment components</u>

- Face to face Lectures
 - AT ON
- Hands-on with patients
 Patient recruitment
 - Patient recruitment
 Patient's expectations
 - Intrusive and embarrassing techniques
 - A challenge to organise
 Immensely valuable and
 - Immensely valuable and popular with delegates
- Practical skills lab training
 E-learning of the principles of the technique
 - Unlimited practice
 - Small group teaching
 Realism very limited
- Simulator Mannequins
 Extension of the skills lab
 - environmentMore realism and surprise
 - NO In-built feedback. Need for trainer's presence
 - Useful as a assessment tool and bench-marking







Physical vs. Virtual Simulators in Ultrasound Training and Education

Physical Simulators – Tutor required for optimal benefit

- Machine related skills
- Image optimisation
- Eye-hand coordination skills
- Virtual Simulators with NO Haptics and No Feedback

 - Tutor required Abstract and Procedural tasks
 - Eye-hand coordination
 - Pathology recognition
 - No real feel
- Virtual Simulators with Haptics and Feedback
 - Tutor NOT required
 - As above PLUS Real Feel &
 - Programmed feed-back metrics and assessment tools

1st European Conference on Simulation in Women's Health RCOG November 2010

The effectiveness of simulation and e-Learning "Blended Learning Environment" in the acquisition of obstetric and gynaecological ultrasound skill

Amal Al-Salamah & Nazar Amso Cardiff University School of Medicine

Objectives

- To determine whether trainees' ultrasound skills improve in a short structured US course
- to evaluate the learning outcomes which could be conducted via trainees' assessment













9

CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDYD

Comparison of Two Methods of Teaching Ultrasound Scanning to Medical Students



Holly Morgan & Nazar Amso Cardiff University School of Medicine June 2010

Mannequin



Components of a virtual simulator?

- 1. Force feed-back "haptic" device
- Simulated "clever" ultrasound probe
 Virtual anatomy and
- interactive probe 4. Simultaneous hand
- movement and real-time ultrasound image depiction
- 5. Computer-generated feedback on trainee's performance
- Measurable skills acquisition
- 7. End-of-session report

| - | A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | - | Anno scampred | |
|----|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| -7 | regress on '33 Assessment of the advance' | | | |
| | | 22.5m 25.201m X | 22.5an 23.5an X | 23.3mt 0.0.255mi X |
| 4 | Piet | | | |
| | | | a S | conTroiner" |
| 1 | | | | |
| | Summary of Ultrasound Simulatio | n Experier | nce | |
| A | Name: Sample Trainee | | | |
| 1 | Date printed: 25 January 2012 18:00 | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| | This is to confirm that Sample Trainee has carried out t and completed the following tutorials: | ranning on the N | tedaphor ScanTrainer G | itrasound simulator |
| | | | | |
| | Core skills: Gynaecology (TVS-G-CS-001.6) | | | |
| l | | | | |
| 1 | 1. Introduction to the ScanTrainer | | | Passed |
| | 2. Orientation conventions | | | |
| | 3. Introduction of the probe | | | Passed |
| 1 | | | | |
| | 4. Examination of the uterus in the sagittal plane | | | |
| 1 | 5. Examination of the uterus in the coronal plane | | | Passed |
| | 6. Full examination of the uterus | | | Passed |
| | e. Full examination of the others | | | Passee |
| | 7. Examination of the ovaries and adnexa | | | |
| | 8. Final examination | | | |
| | 9. Retroverted sterus | | | |
| l | 3. Retroverted uterus | | | |
| 1 | | | Emeral her had to a | |
| 1 | Signed by tutor: | | | |
| | Sample Tutor | | | |
| | | | Transforming the | MedaPhor |



Simulator







Discussion

Mannequin

- One to one- trainee to trainer
- Good understanding of the basic principles
- No detailed feedback
- Minimal benefit without supervisor

Simulator

- Modules are curriculum driven
- Accuracy needed to pass modules
- Training in one's own time
- Only intermittent supervision needed
- Virtual anatomy to guide

Advantages

- A direct comparison
- Groups were randomised
- All participants from the same year therefore had very similar baseline knowledge
- Large amount of data collected
- Highlighted some small issues





Questions?

- Is there evidence that US skills of fertility practitioners improve in a short US course?
 With or without hands-on element?
- Should fertility practitioners have a basic ultrasound qualification?
- Do they maintain their skills in clinical practice?
- What is the evidence for continuing development and maintenance of skills?
- Is there a role for National or European standards to practice?
- Is there a role for revalidation??

Inter and Intra Observer Variation

- Spandorfer (1998)
 - ET was measured in 63 patients and results compared
- Intra-observer variation < inter-observer variation</p> Bredella (2000)
- - Inter-observer variability related to experience with variability being lower between experienced users compared to in-experienced
- Hertzberg (2005)
 - No correlation between performance and experience

Measurement Accuracy

- Herman (1998)
 - Assessed magnification on calliper placement
 - Better reproducibility as magnification increased
- Bredella (2000)
 - Showed inadequate <u>depth</u> settings caused inaccurate measurements
- Breitkopf (2005)
 - Found greater measurement errors in less experienced users e.g. incorrect image plane and calliper placement
- Gerris (2013)
 - "Shrinking Follicle Syndrome"

Image Quality

- Bredella (2000)
 - Assessed value of QA programme: gain, focus
 - QA programme ensures high-quality images and leads to improvement in performance
- Levine (2008)
 - Assessed factors affecting image quality such as training, experience, speciality
 - Found sonographers specialising in women's imaging performed best









What does all of this mean?

- Training schemes should;
 - Have clear objectives
 - Be competency based to ensure high standard of training among all practitioners
- Maintenance and improvement of skills is critical through;
 - Enrolment on CPD and QA programmes
 - Regular audit of practice
- Professional bodies/Societies have a duty to ensure high standards of practice through formal guidelines and policies

What Should Ultrasound Guidelines For Doctors/ Midwife/Nurse Sonographers in ART Include?

- Statement of Intent and purpose of guideline
- Professional Guidelines to include;
 - Continuing Professional Development and participation in a recognised CPD programme
 - Follow National or Professional Code of Professional Conduct for doctors/midwifery/nurse sonographers
 - Follow Local clinical governance guidelines
- Clinical care pathways and "what if?" and "what to do?" scenarios for suspected abnormal findings

What Should Ultrasound Guidelines For Doctors/ Midwife/Nurse Sonographers in ART Include?

- Guidelines/Policy for;
 - General information giving before and after the scan include policy on intimate examinations
 - Verbal consent to undertake procedures, image storage/archiving, data handling and possible use for secondary "teaching and training" purposes
 - Cleaning/disinfecting of ultrasound probe
 - Ultrasound machine safety testing, maintenance and software/hardware update

What Should Ultrasound Guidelines For Doctors/ Midwife/Nurse Sonographers in ART Include?

Standard Reporting Guideline to;

- Defining what a report is
- Understanding the medico-legal implications of the report
- Criteria that must be fulfilled by a midwife/nurse in order to be able to complete a report
- Take part in a quality assurance and audit exercise
- Follow local protocols on acquisition, archiving and use of ultrasound data
- Understand and follow current National legislation on data protection and freedom of information

What Should Ultrasound Guidelines For Doctors/ Midwife/Nurse Sonographers in ART Include?

Ergonomic Practice Guidelines

- Prevention and management of work related MSK disorders and repetitive stress injury
- Managing obese patients (Health and Safety)
- Ultrasound examination timing
- Practice Specific Professional Bodies Guidelines
 - Gynaecological US examination guidelines (BSGI)
 - Ultrasound examination of postmenopausal women (United Kingdom Collaborative Trial for Ovarian Cancer Screening (UKCTOCS) trial)
 - Early pregnancy assessment guidelines

Thank You for Your Attention